## Lecture 02

#### 4 Grothendieck topologies

A Grothendieck site is a small category  $\mathcal{C}$  equipped with a topology  $\mathcal{T}$ .

A Grothendieck topology  $\mathcal{T}$  consists of a collection of subfunctors

$$R \subset \hom(, U), \quad U \in \mathcal{C},$$

called *covering sieves*, such that the following axioms hold:

1) (base change) If  $R \subset \text{hom}(, U)$  is covering and  $\phi: V \to U$  is a morphism of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then the subfunctor

$$\phi^{-1}(R) = \{\gamma : W \to V \mid \phi \cdot \gamma \in R\}$$

is covering for V.

- 2) (local character) Suppose that  $R, R' \subset \hom(, U)$ are subfunctors and R is covering. If  $\phi^{-1}(R')$ is covering for all  $\phi: V \to U$  in R, then R' is covering.
- 3) hom(, U) is covering for all  $U \in \mathcal{C}$

Typically Grothendieck topologies arise from covering families in sites C having pullbacks. Covering families are sets of functors which generate covering sieves.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  has pullbacks. A topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of families of sets of morphisms

$$\{\phi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \to U\}, \quad U \in \mathcal{C},$$

called *covering families*, such that the following axioms hold:

- 1) Suppose that  $\phi_{\alpha} : U_{\alpha} \to U$  is a covering family and that  $\psi : V \to U$  is a morphism of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the collection  $V \times_U U_{\alpha} \to V$  is a covering family for V.
- 2) If  $\{\phi_{\alpha} : U_{\alpha} \to V\}$  is covering, and  $\{\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} : W_{\alpha,\beta} \to U_{\alpha}\}$  is covering for all  $\alpha$ , then the family of composites

$$W_{\alpha,\beta} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}} U_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\alpha}} U$$

is covering.

3) The family  $\{1 : U \to U\}$  is covering for all  $U \in \mathcal{C}$ .

### Examples:

- 1) X = topological space. op  $|_X$  is the poset of open subsets  $U \subset X$ . A covering family for an open subset U is an open cover  $V_{\alpha} \subset U$ .
- 2)  $X = \text{topological space. loc } |_X$  is the category of all maps  $f: Y \to X$  which are local homeomorphisms. f is a local homeomorphism if each  $x \in Y$  has a neighbourhood U such that f(U) is open in X and the restricted map  $U \to$ f(U) is a homeomorphism. A morphism of loc  $|_X$  is a commutative diagram



where f and f' are local homeomorphisms. A family  $\{\phi_{\alpha} : Y_{\alpha} \to Y\}$  of local homeomorphisms (over X) is covering if  $Y = \bigcup \phi_{\alpha}(Y_{\alpha})$ .

3) X = a scheme (topological space with sheaf of rings locally isomorphic to affine schemes  $\operatorname{Sp}(R)$ ). The underlying topology on X is the Zariski topology.  $Zar|_X$  is the poset with objects all open subschemes  $U \subset X$ . A family  $V_{\alpha} \subset U$  is covering if  $\cup V_{\alpha} = U$  (as sets). A scheme homomorphism  $\phi: Y \to X$  is étale at  $y \in Y$  if

- a)  $\mathcal{O}_y$  is a flat  $\mathcal{O}_{f(y)}$ -module ( $\phi$  is flat at y).
- b)  $\phi$  is unramified at  $y: \mathcal{O}_y/\mathcal{M}_{f(y)}\mathcal{O}_y$  is a finite separable field extension of k(f(y)).

Say that a map  $\phi : Y \to X$  is *étale* if it is étale at every  $y \in Y$  (and locally of finite type).

4) S = scheme. The étale site  $et|_S$  has as objects all étale maps  $\phi: V \to S$  and all diagrams



for morphisms (with  $\phi, \phi'$  étale). A covering family for the étale site is a collection of étale morphisms  $\phi_{\alpha} : V_{\alpha} \to V$  such that  $V = \bigcup \phi_{\alpha}(V_{\alpha})$  as a set. Equivalently every morphism  $\operatorname{Sp}(\Omega) \to V$  lifts to some  $V_{\alpha}$  if  $\Omega$  is a separably closed field.

5) The Nisnevich site  $Nis|_S$  has the same underlying category as the étale site, namely all étale maps  $V \to S$  and morphisms between them. A Nisnevich cover is a family of étale maps  $V_{\alpha} \to V$  such that every morphism  $Sp(K) \to$ V lifts to some  $V_{\alpha}$  where K is any field. 6) A flat covering family of a scheme T is a set of flat morphisms  $\phi_{\alpha} : T_{\alpha} \to T$  (ie. morphisms which are flat at each point) such that  $T = \bigcup \phi_{\alpha}(T_{\alpha})$  as a set (equivalently  $\sqcup T_{\alpha} \to T$  is faithfully flat).

 $(Sch|_S)_{fl}$  is the "big" flat site. Pick a large cardinal  $\kappa$ ; then  $(Sch|_S)$  is the category of Sschemes  $X \to S$  such that the cardinality of both the underlying point set of X and all sections  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  of its sheaf of rings are bounded above by  $\kappa$ .

- 7) There are corresponding big sites  $(Sch|_S)_{Zar}$ ,  $(Sch|_S)_{et}$ ,  $(Sch|_S)_{Nis}$ , ... and you can play similar games with topological spaces.
- 8) Suppose that G = {G<sub>i</sub>} is profinite group such that all G<sub>j</sub> → G<sub>i</sub> are surjective group homomorphisms. Write also G = lim G<sub>i</sub>. A discrete G-set is a set X with G-action which factors through an action of G<sub>i</sub> for some i. Write G Set<sub>df</sub> for the category of G-sets which are both discrete and finite. A family U<sub>α</sub> → X in this category is covering if and only if ∐U<sub>α</sub> → X is surjective.

- 9) Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is any small category. Say that  $R \subset \hom(, x)$  is covering if and only if  $1_x \in R$ . This is the *chaotic topology* on  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- 10) Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a site and that  $U \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then the slice category  $\mathcal{C}/U$  inherits a topology from  $\mathcal{C}$ : a collection of maps  $V_{\alpha} \to V \to U$  is covering if and only if the family  $V_{\alpha} \to V$  covers V.

**Definitions:** Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a Grothendieck site.

1) A presheaf (of sets) on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor  $\mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathbf{Set}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a category, an  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued presheaf on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor  $\mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathcal{A}$ .

The set-valued presheaves on  $\mathcal{C}$  form a category (morphisms are natural transformation), written  $\operatorname{Pre}(\mathcal{C})$ . One can talk about presheaves taking values in any category: I write  $s \operatorname{Pre}(\mathcal{C})$  for presheaves on  $\mathcal{C}$  taking values in simplicial sets — this is the category of simplicial presheaves on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

2) A sheaf (of sets) on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a presheaf  $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathbf{Set}$  such that the canonical map

$$F(U) \to \varprojlim_{V \to U \in R} F(V)$$

is an isomorphism for each covering sieve  $R \subset$  hom(, U).

Morphisms of sheaves are natural transformations: write  $\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  for the corresponding category. The sheaf category  $\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  is a full subcategory of  $\operatorname{Pre}(\mathcal{C})$ . One can also speak of sheaves in any complete category, such as simplicial sets:  $s \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  denotes the category of simplicial sheaves on the site  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Exercise:** If the topology on C is defined by a pretopology (so that C has all pullbacks), then F is a sheaf if and only if all pictures

$$F(U) \to \prod_{\alpha} F(U_{\alpha}) \Longrightarrow \prod_{\alpha,\beta} F(U_{\alpha} \times_{U} U_{\beta})$$

arising from covering families  $U_{\alpha} \to U$  are equalizers.

- **Lemma 4.1.** 1) If  $R \subset R' \subset \hom(, U)$  and R is covering then R' is covering.
- 2) If  $R, R' \subset \hom(, U)$  are covering then  $R \cap R'$  is covering.

 $\square$ 

Proof. 1)  $\phi^{-1}(R) = \phi^{-1}(R')$  for all  $\phi \in R$ . 2)  $\phi^{-1}(R \cap R') = \phi^{-1}(R')$  for all  $\phi \in R$ . Suppose that  $R \subset \hom(, U)$  is a sieve, and F is a presheaf on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Write

$$F(U)_R = \varprojlim_{V \to U \in R} F(V)$$

I say that  $F(U)_R$  is the set of *R*-compatible families in *U*. If  $S \subset R$  then there is an obvious map

$$F(U)_R \to F(U)_S$$

Write

$$LF(U) = \varinjlim_R F(U)_R$$

where the colimit is indexed over the filtering diagram of all covering sieves  $R \subset \hom(, U)$ . Then  $x \mapsto LF(U)$  is a presheaf and there is a natural presheaf map

$$\eta: F \to LF$$

Say that a presheaf G is *separated* if (equivalently)

- 1) the map  $\eta: G \to LG$  is monic in each section, ie. all functions  $G(U) \to LG(U)$  are injective, or
- 2) Given  $x, y \in G(U)$ , if there is a covering sieve  $R \subset \hom(, U)$  such that  $\phi^*(x) = \phi^*(y)$  for all  $\phi \in R$ , then x = y.

# **Lemma 4.2.** 1) *LF is separated, for all presheaves F*.

- 2) If G is separated then LG is a sheaf.
- 3) If  $f : F \to G$  is a presheaf map and G is a sheaf, then f factors uniquely through a presheaf map  $f_* : LF \to G$ .

The object  $L^2F$  is a sheaf for every presheaf F, and the functor  $F \mapsto L^2F$  is left adjoint to the inclusion  $\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \operatorname{Pre}(\mathcal{C})$ . The unit of the adjunction is the composite

$$F \xrightarrow{\eta} LF \xrightarrow{\eta} L^2F$$

One often writes  $\eta : F \to L^2 F = \tilde{F}$  for this composite.

#### 5 Exactness properties

- Lemma 5.1. 1) The associated sheaf functor preserves all finite limits.
- 2)  $\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  is complete and co-complete. Limits are formed sectionwise.
- 3) Every monic is an equalizer.
- 4) If  $\theta : F \to G$  in  $Shv(\mathcal{C})$  is both monic and epi, then  $\theta$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* 1) LF is defined by filtered colimits, and finite limits commute with filtered colimits.

2) If  $X : I \to \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  is a diagram of sheaves, then the colimit in the sheaf category is  $L^2(\varinjlim X)$ , where  $\varinjlim X$  is the presheaf colimit.

3) If  $A \subset X$  is a subset, then there is an equalizer

$$A \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{p} X/A$$

The same holds for subobjects  $A \subset X$  of presheaves, and hence for subobjects of sheaves, since  $L^2$  is exact.

4) The map  $\theta$  appears in an equalizer

$$F \xrightarrow{\theta} G \xrightarrow{f} K$$

since  $\theta$  is monic.  $\theta$  is an epi, so f = g. But then  $1_G : G \to G$  factors through  $\theta$ , giving a section  $\sigma : G \to F$ . Finally,  $\theta \sigma \theta = \theta$  and  $\theta$  is monic, so  $\sigma \theta = 1$ .

## **Definitions:**

1) A presheaf map  $f: F \to G$  is a *local epimor*phism if for each  $\alpha \in G(x)$  there is a covering  $R \subset \hom(x)$  such that  $\phi^*(x) = f(y_{\phi})$  for all  $\phi \in R$ . 2)  $f : F \to G$  is a *local monic* if given  $\alpha, \beta \in F(x)$  such that  $f(\alpha) = f(\beta)$ , there is a covering  $R \subset \text{hom}(, x)$  such that  $\phi^*(\alpha) = \phi^*(\beta)$  for all  $\phi \in R$ .

3) A presheaf map  $f: F \to G$  which is both a local epi and a local monic is a *local isomorphism*.

- **Lemma 5.2.** 1) The natural map  $\eta : F \to LF$ is a local monomorphism and a local epimorphism.
- 2) Suppose that  $f: F \to G$  is a presheaf morphism. Then f induces an isomorphism of associated sheaves if and only if f is both a local epi and a local monic.

*Proof.* For 2) observe that, given a commutative diagram

of presheaf morphisms, if any two of f, g and h are local isomorphisms, then so is the third.

A sheaf map  $g: E \to E'$  is a monic (respectively epi) if and only if it is a local monic (respectively local epi). A Grothendieck topos is a category  $\mathcal{E}$  which is equivalent to a sheaf category  $\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  on some Grothendieck site  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Grothendieck toposes are characterized by exactness properties:

**Theorem 5.3** (Giraud). A category  $\mathcal{E}$  having all finite limits is a Grothendieck topos if and only if it has the following properties:

- 1)  $\mathcal{E}$  has all small coproducts; they are disjoint and stable under pullback
- 2) every epimorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$  is a coequalizer
- 3) every equivalence relation  $R \rightrightarrows E$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  is a kernel pair and has a quotient
- 4) every coequalizer  $R \rightrightarrows E \rightarrow Q$  is stably exact
- 5) there is a (small) set of objects which generates  $\mathcal{E}$ .

A sketch proof of Giraud's Theorem appears below, but the result is proved in many places — see, for example, [2], [3], [1]. Here are the definitions of the terms appearing in the statement of Giraud's Theorem:

1) The coproduct  $\bigsqcup_i A_i$  is *disjoint* if all diagrams



are pullbacks for  $i \neq j$ .  $\bigsqcup_i A_i$  is stable under pullback if all diagrams



are pullbacks.

- 3) An equivalence relation is a monomorphism  $m = (m_0, m_1) : R \to E \times E$  such that
- a) the diagonal  $\Delta: E \to E \times E$  factors through m (ie.  $a \sim a$ )
- b) the composite  $R \xrightarrow{m} E \times E \xrightarrow{\tau} E \times E$  factors through m (ie.  $a \sim b \Rightarrow b \sim a$ ).

c) the map

$$(m_0 m_{0*}, m_1 m_{1*}) : R \times_E R \to E \times E$$

factors through m (this is transitivity) where the pullback is defined by

$$\begin{array}{c} R \times_E R \xrightarrow{m_{1*}} R \\ \downarrow m_{0*} & \downarrow m_0 \\ R \xrightarrow{m_1} E \end{array}$$

The *kernel pair* of a morphism  $u: E \to D$  is a pullback

$$\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{m_1} E \\ m_0 \middle| & \downarrow u \\ E \xrightarrow{m_2} D \end{array}$$

(Exercise: every kernel pair is an equivalence relation).

A quotient for an equivalence relation  $(m_0, m_1)$ :  $R \to E \times E$  is a coequalizer

$$R \xrightarrow{m_0} E \longrightarrow E/R$$

4) A coequalizer  $R \rightrightarrows E \rightarrow Q$  is stably exact if the diagram

$$R \times_Q Q' \rightrightarrows E \times_Q Q' \to Q'$$

is a coequalizer for all morphisms  $Q' \to Q$ .

5) A generating set is a set  $\{A_i\}$  which detects non-trivial monomorphisms: if a monomorphism  $m: P \to Q$  induces bijections  $\hom(A_i, P) \to \hom(A_i, Q)$  for all *i*, then *m* is an isomorphism.

**Exercise:** Show that any category Shv(C) on a site C satisfies the conditions of Giraud's theorem. The family  $L^2 \text{ hom}(, U), U \in C$  is a set of generators.

Sketch proof of Giraud's Theorem. The key is to show that the category  $\mathcal{E}$  has coequalizers, and is therefore cocomplete — see [2], [1].

If A is the set of generators for  $\mathcal{E}$  prescribed by Giraud's theorem, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{E}$  on the set of objects A. A subfunctor  $R \subset$ hom(, x) on  $\mathcal{C}$  is covering if the map

$$\bigsqcup_{y \to x \in R} \ y \to x$$

is an epimorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Every object  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  represents a sheaf hom(, E)on  $\mathcal{C}$ , and a sheaf F on  $\mathcal{C}$  determines an object

$$\varinjlim_{\text{hom}(,y)\to F} y$$

of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

The adjunction

$$\hom(\varinjlim_{\hom(\ ,y)\to F}\ y,E)\cong \hom(F,\hom(\ ,E))$$

determines an adjoint equivalence between  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $Shv(\mathcal{C})$ .

The proof of Giraud's Theorem is arguably more important than the statement of the Theorem itself. Here are some examples of the use of the basic ideas:

1) Suppose that G is a sheaf of groups, and let  $G - \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  denote the category of all sheaves X admitting G-action, with equivariant maps between them. The objects  $G \times \text{hom}(, x)$  form a generating set. By Giraud's Theorem,  $G - \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  is a Grothendieck topos, and is called the *classi-fying topos* for G.

2) If  $G = \{G_i\}$  is a profinite group with all transition maps  $G_i \to G_j$  epi, then the category G -**Set**<sub>d</sub> of discrete *G*-sets is a Grothendieck topos. The finite discrete *G*-sets form a generating set for this topos, and the site of finite discrete *G*-sets is a small fattening of the site prescribed by Giraud's Theorem. The site that is specified by Giraud's Theorem is the orbit category.

### 6 Geometric morphisms

Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are Grothendieck sites. A geometric morphism  $f : \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{D})$  consists of functors  $f_* : \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $f^* :$  $\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{D}) \to \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $f^*$  is left adjoint to  $f_*$  and  $f^*$  preserves finite limits.

The left adjoint  $f^*$  is called the *inverse image* functor, while  $f_*$  is called the *direct image*.

The functor  $f^*$  is left and right exact in the sense that it preserves all finite limits and colimits;  $f_*$ is usually not left exact (does not preserve finite colimits), and hence has higher derived functors.

# Examples

1) Suppose  $f : X \to Y$  is a continuous map of topological spaces. Pullback along f induces a functor op  $|_Y \to \text{op} |_X$ :  $U \subset Y \mapsto f^{-1}(U)$ . Open covers pull back to open covers, so if F is a sheaf on X then composition with the pullback gives a sheaf  $f_*F$  on Y with  $f_*F(U) = F(f^{-1}(U))$ . The resulting functor  $f_*$ : Shv(op  $|_X) \to$  Shv(op  $|_Y)$  is the direct image

The left Kan extension  $f^p : \operatorname{Pre}(\operatorname{op}|_Y) \to \operatorname{Pre}(\operatorname{op}|_X)$ 

is defined by

$$f^p G(V) = \varinjlim G(U)$$

where the colimit is indexed over all diagrams



The category op  $|_Y$  has all products (ie. intersections), so the colimit is filtered. The functor  $G \mapsto f^p G$  therefore commutes with finite limits. The inverse image functor

$$f^* : \operatorname{Shv}(\operatorname{op}|_Y) \to \operatorname{Shv}(\operatorname{op}|_X)$$

is defined by  $f^*(G) = L^2 f^p(G)$ . The resulting pair of functors forms a geometric morphism f:  $\operatorname{Shv}(\operatorname{op}|_X) \to \operatorname{Shv}(\operatorname{op}|_Y)$ .

2) Suppose that  $f : X \to Y$  is a morphism of schemes. Etale maps (resp. covers) are stable under pullback, and so there is a functor et  $|_Y \to$ et  $|_X$  defined by pullback, and if F is a sheaf on et  $|_X$  then there is a sheaf  $f_*F$  on et  $|_Y$  defined by  $f_*F(V \to Y) = F(X \times_Y V \to X).$ 

The restriction functor  $f_*$ :  $\operatorname{Pre}(\operatorname{et}|_X) \to \operatorname{Pre}(\operatorname{et}|_Y)$ has a left adjoint  $f^p$  defined by

$$f^p G(U \to X) = \varinjlim G(V)$$

where the colimit is indexed over all diagrams



where both vertical maps are étale. The colimit is filtered, essentially because étale maps are stable under pullback and composition. The inverse image functor

$$f^* : \operatorname{Shv}(\operatorname{et}|_Y) \to \operatorname{Shv}(\operatorname{et}|_X)$$

is defined by  $f^*F = L^2 f^p F$ , and so f induces a geometric morphism  $f : \text{Shv}(\text{et }|_X) \to \text{Shv}(\text{et }|_Y)$ .

A morphism of schemes  $f : X \to Y$  induces a geometric morphism  $f : \operatorname{Shv}(?|_X) \to \operatorname{Shv}(?|_Y)$  and/or  $f : (Sch|_X)_? \to (Sch|_Y)_?$  for all of the geometric topologies (eg. Zariski, flat, Nisnevich, qfh, ...), by similar arguments.

3) A *point* of  $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  is a geometric morphism  $\mathbf{Set} \to \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$ . Every point  $x \in X$  of a topological space X determines a continuous map  $\{x\} \subset X$  and hence a geometric morphism

$$\mathbf{Set} \cong \operatorname{Shv}(\operatorname{op}|_{\{x\}}) \xrightarrow{x} \operatorname{Shv}(\operatorname{op}|_X)$$

The set

$$x^*F = \varinjlim_{x \in U} F(U)$$

is the *stalk* of F at x

4) Suppose that k is a field. Any scheme map  $x : \operatorname{Sp}(k) \to X$  induces a geometric morphism

$$\operatorname{Shv}(et|_k) \to \operatorname{Shv}(et|_X)$$

If k happens to be separably closed, then there is an equivalence  $\text{Shv}(et|_k) \simeq \text{Set}$  and the resulting geometric morphism  $x : \text{Set} \to \text{Shv}(et|_X)$  is called a geometric point of X. The inverse image functor



is the stalk of F at x.

5) Suppose that S and T are topologies on a site C so that  $S \subset T$ . In other words, T has more covers than S and hence refines S. Then every sheaf for T is a sheaf for S; write

$$\pi_* : \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C}, T) \subset \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C}, S)$$

for the corresponding inclusion. The associated sheaf functor for the topology T gives a left adjoint  $\pi^*$  for the inclusion functor  $\pi_*$ , and of course  $\pi^*$ preserves finite limits.

Here's an example: there is a geometric morphism

 $\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{Pre}(\mathcal{C})$ 

determined by the inclusion of the sheaf category in the presheaf category and the associated sheaf functor.

#### 7 Points

Say that a Grothendieck topos  $\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  has *enough* points if there is a set of geometric morphisms  $x_i$ :  $\operatorname{Set} \to \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  such that the induced morphism

$$\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{(x_i^*)} \prod_i \operatorname{Set}$$

is faithful.

**Lemma 7.1.** Suppose that  $f : Shv(\mathcal{D}) \to Shv(\mathcal{C})$ is a geometric morphism. Then the following are equivalent:

- a)  $f^* : \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{D})$  is faithful.
- b)  $f^*$  reflects isomorphisms
- c)  $f^*$  reflects epimorphisms
- d)  $f^*$  reflects monomorphisms

Proof. Suppose that  $f^*$  is faithful, i.e. that  $f^*(g_1) = f^*(g_2)$  implies that  $g_1 = g_2$ . Suppose that  $m : F \to G$  is a morphism of  $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $f^*(m)$  is monic. If  $m \cdot f_1 = m \cdot f_2$  then  $f^*(f_1) = f^*(f_2)$  so  $f_1 = f_2$ . The map m is therefore monic. Similarly  $f^*$  reflects epimorphisms and hence isomorphisms.

Suppose that  $f^*$  reflects epimorphisms and suppose given  $g_1, g_2 : F \to G$  such that  $f^*(g_1) = f^*(g_2)$ .  $g_1 = g_2$  if and only if their equalizer  $e : E \to F$  is an epimorphism. But  $f^*$  preserves equalizers and reflects epimorphisms, so e is an epi and  $g_1 = g_2$ . The other arguments are similar.  $\Box$ 

Here are some basic definitions:

1) A *lattice* L is a partially ordered set which has all finite coproducts  $x \lor y$  and all finite products  $x \land y$ .

**NB**: The collection of finite coproducts includes the empty coproduct, which is an initial object 0. Similarly, the empty product, which is finite product, is a terminal object 1. Every lattice L, defined as above, has both an initial object 0 and a terminal object 1.

2) A lattice L is said to be *distributive* if

$$x \land (y \lor z) = (x \land y) \lor (x \land z)$$

for all x, y, z.

3) A *complement* for x in a lattice L with 0 and 1 is an element a such that  $x \lor a = 1$  and  $x \land a = 0$ , if it exists.

If L is also distibutive, then the complement, if it exists, is unique: if b is another complement for x, then

$$b = b \land 1 = b \land (x \lor a) = (b \land x) \lor (b \land a)$$
$$= (x \land a) \lor (b \land a) = (x \lor b) \land a = a$$

One usually writes  $\neg x$  for the complement of x.

4) A Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is a distributive lattice in which every element has a complement.

5) A lattice L is said to be *complete* if it has all small limits and colimits (aka. all small meets and joins).

6) A frame P is a lattice which has all small joins (and all finite meets) and which satisfies an infinite distributive law

$$U \wedge (\bigvee_{i} V_{i}) = \bigvee_{i} (U \wedge V_{i})$$

**Remark**: There is a frame category whose objects are the frames and the morphisms are the poset maps which preserve structure. The category of locales is the opposite category of the frame category. I tend to use the term "locale" instead of frame.

## Examples:

- 1) The poset  $\mathcal{O}(T)$  of open subsets of a topological space T is a frame. Every continuous map  $f : S \to T$  induces a morphism of frames  $f^{-1} : \mathcal{O}(T) \to \mathcal{O}(S)$ , defined by  $U \mapsto F^{-1}(U)$ .
- 2) The power set  $\mathcal{P}(I)$  of a set I is a complete Boolean algebra.
- 3) Every complete Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is a frame. For the infinite distributive law, observe that every join is a filtered colimit of finite joins.

Every frame A has a canonical Grothendieck topology: a family  $y_i \leq x$  is covering if  $\bigvee_i y_i = x$ . Write  $\operatorname{Shv}(A)$  for the corresponding sheaf category. Every complete Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is a frame, and therefore has an associated sheaf category  $\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{B})$ .

**Example:** Suppose that I is a set. Then there is an equivalence

$$\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{P}(I)) \simeq \prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{Set}$$

If F is a sheaf on  $\mathcal{P}(I)$  and  $A \subset I$ , then

$$F(A) \cong \prod_{x \in A} F(\{x\}).$$

Any set I of points  $x_j : \mathbf{Set} \to \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  assembles to give a geometric morphism

$$x : \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{P}(I)) \to \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C}).$$

**Lemma 7.2.** Suppose that F is a sheaf of sets on a complete Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then the poset Sub(F) of subobjects of F is a complete Boolean algebra.

*Proof.* Sub(F) is a frame, by an argument on the presheaf level. It remains to show that every object  $G \in Sub(F)$  is complemented. The obvious candidate for  $\neg G$  is

$$\neg G = \bigvee_{H \land G = \emptyset} H$$

and we need to show that  $G \bigvee \neg G = F$ .

Every  $K \leq \text{hom}(A)$  is representable: in effect,

$$K = \varinjlim_{\hom(\ ,B) \to K} \hom(\ ,B) = \hom(\ ,C)$$

where

$$C = \bigvee_{\text{hom}(,B) \to K} B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

It follows that  $Sub(hom(A)) \cong Sub(A)$  is a complete Boolean algebra.

Consider all diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\phi^{-1}(G) \longrightarrow G \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
\text{hom}(,A) \longrightarrow F
\end{array}$$

There is an induced pullback

$$\begin{array}{c} \phi^{-1}(G) \lor \neg \phi^{-1}(G) \longrightarrow G \lor \neg G \\ \cong & \downarrow \\ hom(, A) \xrightarrow{\phi} F \end{array}$$

F is a union of its representables (all  $\phi$  are monic since all hom(, A) are subobjects of the terminal sheaf), so  $G \lor \neg G = F$ .

**Lemma 7.3.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a complete Boolean algebra. Then every epimorphism  $\pi : F \to G$  in Shv( $\mathcal{B}$ ) has a section.

**Remark 7.4.** Lemma 7.3 asserts that the sheaf category on a complete Boolean algebra satisfies the Axiom of Choice.

*Proof.* Consider the family of lifts



This family is non-empty, because every  $x \in G(1)$ restricts along some covering  $B \leq 1$  to a family of elements  $x_B$  which lift to F(B).

All maps hom $(, B) \rightarrow G$  are monic, since all maps hom $(, B) \rightarrow$  hom(, 1) = \* are monic. Thus, all such morphisms represent objects of Sub(G), which is a complete Boolean algebra by Lemma 7.2.

Zorn's Lemma implies that the family of lifts has maximal elements.

Suppose that N is maximal and that  $\neg N \neq \emptyset$ . Then there is an  $x \in \neg N(C)$  for some C, and there is a covering  $B' \leq C$  such that  $x_{B'} \in N(B')$ lifts to F(B') for all members of the cover. Then  $N \wedge \text{hom}(, B') = \emptyset$  so the lift extends to a lift on  $N \vee \text{hom}(, B')$ , contradicting the maximality of N.  $\Box$ 

A Boolean localization for  $\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  is a geometric morphism  $p : \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{B}) \to \operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a complete Boolean algebra and  $p^*$  is faithful. **Theorem 7.5** (Barr). Boolean localizations exist for every Grothendieck topos  $Shv(\mathcal{C})$ .

Theorem 7.5 is proved in multiple places — see [2], for example. There is a shorter version of the proof in [1].

A Grothendieck topos  $\operatorname{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  does not have enough points, in general (eg. sheaves on the flat site for a scheme), but the result asserts that every Grothendieck topos has a "fat point" given by a Boolean localization. This is of fundamental importance in setting up the general local homotopy theory of simplicial sheaves and presheaves.

#### References

- J.F. Jardine. Local Homotopy Theory. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2015.
- [2] Saunders Mac Lane and Ieke Moerdijk. Sheaves in geometry and logic. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. A first introduction to topos theory, Corrected reprint of the 1992 edition.
- [3] Horst Schubert. *Categories*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Translated from the German by Eva Gray.