## REAL ANALYSIS LECTURE NOTES

## RASUL SHAFIKOV

## 11. Fundamental solutions of differential operators

## 11.1. Fundamental solutions. In this section we study linear differential equations of the form

(1) 
$$\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} a_{\alpha} D^{\alpha} u = f(x), \ f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with constant coefficients  $a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

If we consider a linear differential operator

$$P(D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} a_{\alpha} D^{\alpha}$$

of order m with constant coefficiets, then the partial differential equation (1) takes the form

(2) 
$$P(D)u = f(x), \ f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a generalized solution of (2) in  $\Omega$  if u satisfies this equation in  $\Omega$ , that is,

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} a_{\alpha} \langle D^{\alpha} u, \varphi \rangle = \langle f(x), \varphi \rangle$$

for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ .

Suppose that  $f \in C(\Omega)$ . If a function  $u \in C^m(\Omega)$  satisfies (2), we call it a classical solution of (2). Obviously, if  $u \in C^m(\Omega)$  is a generalized solution of (2), then it is a classical solution.

**Definition 11.1.** A distribution  $E \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is called a fundamental solution of an operator P(D) if

$$P(D)E = \delta(x)$$
.

If u is a solution of the homogeneous equation P(D)u = 0 then E + u also is a fundamental solution of (2), so in general a fundamental solution is not unique. The importance of this notion stems from the following statement.

**Theorem 11.2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a distribution such that the convolution

$$u = E * f$$

exists in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then u is a solution of equation (2). Moreover, this solution of (2) is unique in the class of distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  admitting the convolution with E.

*Proof.* Using the properties of convolution we obtain

$$P(D)(E * f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} a_{\alpha} D^{\alpha}(E * f) = (\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} a_{\alpha} D^{\alpha} E) * f$$
$$= (P(D)E) * f = \delta * f = f$$

Thus u = E \* f defines a solution of (2). In order to prove the uniqueness in the class of distributions, admitting the convolution with E, it suffices to prove that the homogeneous equation

$$P(D)v = 0$$

has a unique solution in this class. But this holds since

$$v = \delta * v = (P(D)E) * v = E * (P(D)v) = E * 0 = 0$$

This proves the theorem.

**Example 11.1.** Let  $P(D) = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . To solve the equation

(3) 
$$P(D)u = \chi_{[0,1]}$$

we first find a fundamental solution of the operator P(D). If E satisfies  $\frac{d^2E}{dx^2} = \delta$ , then by Example ??, we have  $\frac{dE}{dx} = H + c_1$ . For convenience we may take  $c_1 = -1/2$ . Then  $E = 1/2|x| + c_2$ . Take  $c_2 = 0$ , then E = 1/2|x| is a fundamental solution. The find a generalized solution of (3) we compute, according to Theorem 11.2, the convolution of the fundamental solution and the right-hand side of (3). Since one of the functions has compact support, the convolution is well-defined. We have

$$E * \chi_{[0,1]}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} |y| \chi_{[0,1]}(x-y) dy = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x-t| \chi_{[0,1]}(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} |x-t| dt.$$

This integral is a well defined  $C^1$ -smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}$  given by

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } x \le 0, \\ \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } 0 < x < 1, \\ \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } x \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

 $\Diamond$ 

Later in the section we will compute fundamental solutions of the classical linear operators in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

11.2. **Malgrange-Ehrenpreis theorem.** The following fundamental result is obtained independently by B. Malgrange and L. Ehrenpreis in 1954-55.

**Theorem 11.3.** A linear differential operator with constant coefficients admits a fundamental solution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We will follow the proof given by J.-P. Rosay (Amer. Math. Monthly, 98 (1991), no. 6, p. 518–523.). In what follows it will be convenient to assume that all functions are complex valued. Let  $||\cdot||$  be the  $L^2$ -norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi \, \overline{\psi}$$

be the corresponding scalar product. If P(D) is a linear differential operator with constant coefficients of order m, then its adjoint operator  $P^*(D)$  is defined by the identity

$$\langle A\phi, \psi \rangle = \langle \phi, A^*\psi \rangle$$
 for all  $\phi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

In particular, if

(4) 
$$P(D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} a_{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n),$$

then the adjoint operator takes the form

$$P^*(D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \overline{a}_{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}.$$

**Proposition 11.4** (Hörmander's inequality). Let P(D) be a nonzero linear differential operator with constant coefficients of order m given by (4). Then for every bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists a constant C > 0, such that for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$||P(D)\phi|| \ge C||\phi||.$$

One can take  $C = |P|_m K_{m,\Omega}$ , where

$$|P|_m = \max_{|\alpha|=m} \{|a_\alpha|\},\,$$

and  $K_{m,\Omega}$  depends only on m and the diameter of  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* To illustrate the idea of the proof first consider the case  $n=1, \Omega=(0,1)$ , and P(D)=d/dx. We need to show that there exists some C>0 such that  $||\phi'|| \geq C||\phi||$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}((0,1))$ . We have

$$\langle (x\phi)', \phi \rangle = \langle x\phi', \phi \rangle + \langle \phi, \phi \rangle.$$

Using integration by part,  $\langle (x\phi)', \phi \rangle = -\langle x\phi, \phi' \rangle$ , and so  $\langle \phi, \phi \rangle = -\langle x\phi', \phi \rangle - \langle x\phi, \phi' \rangle$ . Since |x| < 1, we get  $||\phi||^2 \le 2||\phi'|| ||\phi||$ , by the Hölder inequality (Thm ??). Hence,  $||\phi'|| \ge 1/2||\phi||$ .

The general case is proved by induction on the degree of P. Define a linear differential operator with constant coefficients  $P_i(D)$  by the following identity

$$P(D)(x_i\phi) = x_i P(D)\phi + P_i(D)\phi.$$

The operator  $P_j(D)$  is zero iff P(D) does not involve any differentiation with respect to  $x_j$ . If it is nonzero, then  $P_j(D)$  is of order at most m-1. Let  $A = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |x|$ . By induction on m, we will show that for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ ,

(5) 
$$||P_j(D)\phi|| \le 2mA \, ||P(D)\phi||.$$

Observe that (5) and the definition of  $P_i$  yield

(6) 
$$||P(D)(x_j\phi)|| \le (2m+1)A||P(D)\phi||.$$

Since differential operators with constant coefficients commute, we have for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ ,

$$||P(D)\phi||^2 = \langle P(D)\phi, P(D)\phi \rangle = \langle \phi, P^*(D)P(D)\phi \rangle = \langle \phi, P(D)P^*(D)\phi \rangle$$
$$= \langle P^*(D)\phi, P^*(D)\phi \rangle = ||P^*(D)\phi||^2.$$

The inequality (5) is trivial for m=0, since then  $P_j(D)=0$ . Assuming that (5) is verified for operators of order m-1, we compute  $\langle P(D)(x_j\phi), P_j(D)\phi \rangle$  in two different ways. From the definition of  $P_j(D)$  we have,

$$\langle P(D)(x_j\phi), P_j(D)\phi \rangle = \langle x_jP(D)\phi, P_j(D)\phi \rangle + ||P_j(D)\phi||^2.$$

By integration by parts (i.e., using the definition of the adjoint) and using commutativity of  $P^*(D)$  and  $P_i(D)$ , we obtain

$$\langle P(D)(x_j\phi), P_j(D)\phi\rangle = \langle P_j^*(D)(x_j\phi), P^*(D)\phi\rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$||P_j(D)\phi||^2 = \langle P_j^*(D)(x_j\phi), P^*(D)\phi \rangle - \langle x_jP(D)\phi, P_j(D)\phi \rangle.$$

By the induction hypothesis, equation (6) holds for all operators of order m-1, which when applied to  $P_i^*(D)$  yields

$$||P_i^*(D)(x_i\phi)|| \le (2m-1)A||P_i(D)\phi||.$$

And, since

$$|\langle x_j P(D)\phi, P_j(D)\phi\rangle| \le A||P(D)\phi|| ||P_j(D)\phi||,$$

we get

$$||P_{i}(D)\phi||^{2} \leq 2mA ||P_{i}(D)\phi|| ||P(D)\phi||,$$

which proves (5). If P(D) is an operator of order  $m \geq 1$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$  such that  $P_j(D)$  is of order m-1, and  $|P_j|_{m-1} \geq |P|_m$ . Thus the proposition follows from (5) by induction on m.

Corollary 11.5. If  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then for every  $g \in L^2(\Omega)$  there exists  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that P(D)u = g.

*Proof.* This follows from the inequality  $||P^*(D)\phi|| \ge C||\phi||$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ . Indeed, P(D)u = g means that for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ ,

(7) 
$$\langle g, \phi \rangle = \langle u, P^*(D)\phi \rangle.$$

Let

$$E = \{ \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \ \psi = P^*(D)\phi \text{ for some } \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \}.$$

Consider the (anti)linear functional  $l: E \to \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$l(\psi) = \langle g, \phi \rangle$$
, where  $\psi = P^*(D)\phi$ .

Then using Hörmander's inequality we have

$$||l|| = \sup_{||\psi||=1} |\langle g, \phi \rangle| \le ||g|| \sup_{||\psi||=1} ||\phi|| \le \frac{||g||}{C} \sup_{||\psi||=1} ||P^*(D)\phi|| = \frac{||g||}{C}.$$

This shows that l is a bounded linear functional on E with  $L^2$ -norm. Therefore, l can be extended to  $\overline{E}$ , the closure of E in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Then the Riesz representation theorem (Thm ??) gives the existence of  $u \in \overline{E}$  such that  $l(\psi) = \langle u, \psi \rangle$ . This implies equation (7).

We now wish to extend the above result to  $L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  functions. For this we first prove the following

**Proposition 11.6.** There exists C' > 0 such that for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\eta x_1} |P(D)\phi|^2 \ge C' \int_{\Omega} e^{\eta x_1} |\phi|^2.$$

Note that C' is independent of  $\eta$ .

*Proof.* Apply Hörmander's inequality to  $\Psi = e^{(\eta/2)x_1} \phi$  and operator Q(D) defined by

$$Q(D)(\Psi) = e^{(\eta/2)x_1} P(D) [e^{-(\eta/2)x_1} \Psi],$$

which is indeed a constant coefficient operator with the same degree m terms as P(D).

Corollary 11.7. Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  or more generally  $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with compact support. If  $P(D)\phi$  is supported in the ball B(0,r), then so is  $\phi$ .

Proof. By letting  $\eta \to +\infty$  in Proposition 11.6, one can immediately verify that if  $P(D)\phi = 0$  in the half-space  $\{x_1 > 0\}$ , then  $\phi = 0$  there. From this, using translations and rotations, the smooth case of the corollary can be verified. In the nonsmooth case, for  $\varepsilon < 1$  consider the regularization  $\phi_{\varepsilon} = \phi * \omega_e \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $P(D)\phi_{\varepsilon} = P(D)\phi * \omega_{\varepsilon}$  is supported in  $B(0, r + \varepsilon)$  and  $\phi_{\varepsilon} \to \phi$  in  $L^2$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  by Proposition ??. This reduces the problem to the smooth case.

**Proposition 11.8.** Let 0 < r < r' < R. If  $v \in L^2(B(0,r'))$  and satisfy P(D)v = 0 on B(0,r'), there exists a sequence  $(v_j) \subset L^2(B(0,R))$  such that  $P(D)v_j = 0$  on B(0,R) and  $v_j \to v$  in  $L^2(B(0,r))$  as  $j \to \infty$ .

Proof. After regularization we can assume that v is smooth, possibly shrinking r' slightly (to preserve P(D)v=0). It suffices to show that any continuous linear functional that vanishes on the space  $L^2(B(0,R)) \cap \{\alpha : P(D)\alpha = 0\}$  also vanishes at v. In other words (using the Riesz representation theorem), we have to show that if  $g \in L^2(B(0,r))$  and satisfies  $\langle \alpha, g \rangle_{B(0,r)}$  for all  $\alpha \in L^2(B(0,R))$  such that  $P(D)\alpha = 0$ , then  $\langle v, g \rangle_{B(0,r)} = 0$ .

Claim. There exists  $w \in L^2(B(0,R))$  such that for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\langle \phi, g \rangle_{B(0,r)} = \langle P(D)\phi, w \rangle_{B(0,R)}.$$

For the proof of the claim, we need to find C > 0 such that

$$\left| \langle \phi, g \rangle_{B(0,r)} \right| \le C||P(D)\phi||_{B(0,R)}.$$

Notice that if  $P(D)\phi=0$ , then we have  $\langle \phi,g\rangle=0$ . If  $P(D)\phi\neq 0$ , then by Corollary 11.5 we can find  $\Psi\in L^2(B(0,R))$  so that  $P(D)\Psi=P(D)\phi$  and  $||\Psi||_{B(0,R)}\leq C_1||P(D)\phi||_{B(0,R)}$  for some  $C_1>0$ . Then

$$\langle \phi, g \rangle_{B(0,R)} = \langle \phi - \Psi, g \rangle_{B(0,R)} + \langle \Psi, g \rangle_{B(0,R)} = \langle \Psi, g \rangle_{B(0,R)}.$$

Hence,  $|\langle \phi, g \rangle_{B(0,R)}| \leq C||P(D)\phi||_{B(0,R)}$  with  $C = C_1||g||$ , which proves the claim.

Pick w as given by the claim. Extend g and w on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{w}$  by setting  $\tilde{g} = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, r)$  and  $\tilde{w} = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, R)$ . We then have  $\tilde{g} = P^*(D)\tilde{w}$ . Since  $\tilde{w}$  has compact support, and  $P^*(D)\tilde{w}$  is supported in B(0, r), we conclude from Corollary 11.7 that w = 0 on  $B(0, R) \setminus B(0, r)$ .

To complete the proof of the proposition take v as at the beginning of the proof, and extend it to be a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (but no longer satisfying P(D)v = 0 off B(0,r)). One has

$$\langle v,g\rangle_{B(0,r)}=\langle P(D)v,w\rangle_{B(0,R)}=\langle P(D)v,w\rangle_{B(0,r)}=0.$$

We now can prove the following

**Proposition 11.9.** Let P(D) be a nonzero linear differential operator on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with constant coefficients. Then for every  $g \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there exists  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that P(D)u = g.

Proof. By Corollary 11.5 there exists  $u_1 \in L^2(B(0,2))$  so that  $P(D)u_1 = g$  on B(0,2). Then inductively, assuming  $u_p$  has been chosen in  $L^2(B(0,p+1))$  so that  $P(D)u_p = g$ , one chooses  $u_{p+1}$  in  $L^2(B(0,p+2))$  in the following way. Let w be an arbitrary solution of P(D)w = g, in  $L^2(B(0,p+2))$ . On B(0,p+1) one has  $P(D)(u_p - w) = 0$ . By Proposition 11.8 there exists  $v \in L^2(B(0,p+2))$  such that P(D)v = 0, and  $||v - (u_p - w)||_{B(0,p)} \le 1/2^p$ . Set  $u_{p+1} = v + w$ . Then  $P(D)u_{p+1} = g$  on B(0,p+2), and  $||u_{p+1} - u_p||_{B(0,p)} \le 1/2^p$ . The sequence  $(u_p)$  is obviously convergent in  $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and its limit satisfies P(D)u = g.

*Proof of the Malgrange-Ehrenpreis Theorem.* Let H be the function (product of the Heaviside functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ ) defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$H(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_j > 0, \ j = 1, \dots, n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial^n H}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_n} = \delta_0.$$

Since  $H \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by the previous proposition there exists  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  so that P(D)u = H. Set  $E = \frac{\partial^n u}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_n}.$ 

$$E = \frac{\partial^n u}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_n}.$$

Then

$$P(D)E = P(D)\frac{\partial^n u}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_n} = \frac{\partial^n u}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_n}(P(D)u) = \delta_0.$$