

## REAL ANALYSIS LECTURE NOTES

RASUL SHAFIKOV

## 7. THE SPACE OF TEST FUNCTIONS

**7.1. Space of test functions.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For an integer  $k \geq 0$  we denote by  $C^k(\Omega)$  the linear space of functions  $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that have continuous partial derivatives up to order  $k$  on  $\Omega$ . As usual we use the notation  $C^\infty(\Omega) = \cap_{k=0}^\infty C^k(\Omega)$  for the space of real functions admitting the continuous partial derivatives of any order. The standard topology on  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  can be defined by the following notion of convergence: we say that a sequence  $\{h^k\} \subset C^\infty(\Omega)$  converges as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  to a function  $h$  in  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  if  $h^k$  converges to  $h$  uniformly with all partial derivatives of any order on any compact subset of  $\Omega$ . If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\Omega$ , we use the standard norm

$$\|u\|_{C^k(K)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \in K} |D^\alpha u(x)|$$

for  $u \in C^k(\Omega)$ .

Recall that  $\text{supp } h$ , the *support* of a function  $h \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , is defined to be the closure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the set  $\{x \in \Omega : h(x) \neq 0\}$ . Denote by  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  the subspace of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which consists of functions  $h$  such that  $\text{supp } h \subset \Omega$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Our main goal is to introduce and to study the space of continuous linear functionals on the linear vector space  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  so we must choose certain topology on  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . The most convenient way (which is sufficient for all our considerations) is just to define the notion of convergence of a sequence of elements in  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  and use it in order to define the continuity of linear functionals. We say that a sequence of functions  $(\varphi_j)$  of class  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  converges to  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  if the following conditions hold:

- (i) There exists a compact subset  $K$  such that  $K \subset \Omega$  and  $\varphi_j = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$  for every  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ . In other words,  $\text{supp } \varphi_j \subset K \subset \Omega$  for every  $j$ .
- (ii) For every  $\alpha$  the sequence  $D^\alpha \varphi_j$  converges to  $D^\alpha \varphi$  uniformly on  $K$ . That is all partial derivatives of  $\varphi_j$  of all orders converge uniformly to corresponding partial derivatives of  $\varphi$ .

**Definition 7.1.** We denote by  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  the space  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  equipped with the above topology of convergence of sequences. The elements of this space are called *test-functions*.

We leave as an exercise for the reader to verify that  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is a topological vector space.

**Example 7.1.** Denote by  $|x| = \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2}$  the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Set

$$\omega_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} C_\varepsilon e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2 - |x|^2}}, & |x| < \varepsilon, \\ 0, & |x| \geq \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Here the constant  $C_\varepsilon$  is determined by the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\varepsilon(x) dx = 1$$

that is,

$$C_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-n} \left( \int_{B(0,1)} e^{\frac{-1}{1-|t|^2}} dt \right)^{-1},$$

where  $B(0,1)$  denotes the Euclidean unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This is a typical example of a function from  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (the so-called *bump function*). Observe that

$$\omega_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n} \omega_1(x/\varepsilon).$$

◇

In what follows we write  $\omega(x)$  instead of  $\omega_1(x)$ . The bump function allows us to construct suitable test-functions for an arbitrary domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Denote by  $\Omega^\varepsilon := \cup_{x \in \Omega} B(x, \varepsilon)$ , the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma 7.2.** *Given compact subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a function  $\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  of class  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x) &= 1, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega^\varepsilon, \\ \eta(x) &= 0, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega^{3\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda(x) = 1$ ,  $x \in \Omega^{2\varepsilon}$ ,  $\lambda(x) = 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega^{2\varepsilon}$  be the characteristic function of  $\Omega^{2\varepsilon}$ . Then the function defined by the convolution integral:

$$\eta(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda(y) \omega_\varepsilon(x - y) dy$$

satisfies the required conditions. □

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain and  $\Omega'$  be its subset such that  $\overline{\Omega'} \subset \Omega$ . Then there exists a function  $\eta : \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\eta(x) = 1$ ,  $x \in \Omega'$ .*

We point out that the introduced above topology on the space  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is not metrisable. In other words, one can not define a distance  $d$  on the space of test functions such that the convergence with respect to  $d$  is equivalent to the introduced above convergence of a sequence of test functions. To see this, recall the following elementary assertion.

**Claim.** *Let  $(u_k^{(m)})_k$  be a countable family of sequences in a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Suppose that for every  $m = 0, 1, \dots$  the sequence  $(u_k^{(m)})$  admits the limit  $u^m := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k^{(m)}$  and the sequence of these limits  $(u^m)_m$  also tends to  $u \in X$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for every  $m$  there exists  $k_m$  such that  $k_{m-1} < k_m$  and the sequence  $(u_{k_m}^{(m)})$  converges to  $u$ .*

We leave an easy proof as an exercise. Consider now in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  the functions

$$\varphi_k^{(m)}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} e^{-\frac{m^2}{m^2 - x^2}}, & \text{for } |x| \leq m, \\ 0, & \text{for } |x| \geq m. \end{cases}$$

Then for every  $m$  we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k^{(m)} = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ . But for any choice of  $k_m$  the sequence  $(u_{k_m}^{(m)})$  does not converge in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  since the supports of these test functions are not uniformly bounded. This shows that the topology of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is not metrisable.

It is not difficult to describe a topology on  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defining the introduced above convergence. Consider the case  $n = 1$ , the general case is similar. Given  $m$  consider  $m + 1$  positive continuous functions  $\gamma_j$ . Define a neighbourhood of the origin in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  as the set of test-functions  $\phi$  satisfying

$|\phi_j^{(k)}(x)| \leq \gamma_j(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the convergence in this topology precisely coincides with the introduced above convergence on  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ . We leave the elementary proof as an exercise.

**7.2. Regularization of functions.** Recall that the convolution  $f * g$  of two functions  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by the integral

$$(1) \quad f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x-y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y)g(y)dy$$

The convolution has particularly nice properties when the second factor is a test function. Denote by  $L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$  the space of locally Lebesgue-integrable functions on  $\Omega$ . Recall that a measurable function  $f$  is in  $L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$  if and only if  $\int_X |f(x)|dx < \infty$  for every compact measurable subset  $X \subset \Omega$ . Let  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the function  $y \mapsto \varphi(x-y)$  is a test-function and so the convolution

$$(2) \quad f * \varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)\varphi(x-y)dy$$

is defined point-wise as a usual function on the whole  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proposition 7.4.** *We have  $f * \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and*

$$(3) \quad D^\alpha(f * \phi) = f * D^\alpha\varphi.$$

*Proof.* (a) Let us show that the convolution  $f * \varphi$  is a continuous function. Let  $x^k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a sequence converging to  $x$ . Then  $\varphi(x^k - y) \rightarrow \varphi(x - y)$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  everywhere, and

$$f * \varphi(x^k) = \int f(y)\varphi(x^k - y)dy \rightarrow \int f(y)\varphi(x - y)dy = (f * \varphi)(x), \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

by the Lebesgue Convergence theorem.

(b) Denote by  $e_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , the vectors of the standard base of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For a fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$\frac{1}{t}(\varphi(x + te_j - y) - \varphi(x - y)) \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\varphi(x - y)), \quad t \rightarrow 0$$

everywhere (this follows from the Mean Value theorem applied to the function  $\varphi(x + te_j - y)$  on  $[0, t]$ ). Therefore by the Lebesgue Convergence theorem

$$\frac{1}{t}(f * \varphi(x + te_j) - f * \varphi(x)) = \int f(y)\frac{1}{t}(\varphi(x + te_j - y) - \varphi(x - y))dy \rightarrow \int f(y)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\varphi\right)(x - y)dy$$

Hence, the partial derivative of  $f * \varphi$  in  $x_j$  exists and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}f * \varphi = \int f(y)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\varphi\right)(x - y)dy = f * \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\varphi\right).$$

Since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , it follows by (a) that the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(f * \varphi)$  is continuous. Proceeding by induction, we obtain that  $f * \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and satisfies (3).  $\square$

A special case very important for applications arises if we take the hat-function  $\omega_\varepsilon$  as  $\varphi$  in the definition of convolution. Then we obtain

**Definition 7.5.** *The convolution  $f_\varepsilon := f * \omega_\varepsilon$  is called the regularization of a function  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

**Proposition 7.6.** *We have*

- (i)  $f_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (ii) if  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ , in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  for every bounded subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;

(iii) if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ , in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Part (i) follows from Proposition 7.4. To show (ii), assume that  $f$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$(4) \quad f_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\varepsilon(x-y)f(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\varepsilon(y)f(x-y)dy = \int_{|y| \leq \varepsilon} \omega_\varepsilon(y)f(x-y)dy,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\varepsilon(x)dx = 1.$$

Then

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\varepsilon(y)f(x-y)dy - f(x) \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\varepsilon(y)(f(x-y) - f(x))dy \right| \leq M(\varepsilon) \int_{|y| \leq \varepsilon} \omega_\varepsilon(y)dy = M,$$

where

$$M(\varepsilon) = \sup_{x \in \Omega, |y| \leq \varepsilon} |f(x-y) - f(x)|.$$

Since  $f$  is continuous on the compact subset  $\overline{\Omega^\varepsilon}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it is uniformly continuous there so that  $M(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ . This proves (ii).

Finally, for the proof of (iii) we need to show that  $\|f_\varepsilon - f\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ . Here we use the notation  $\|h\|_{L^p} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h|^p dx)^{1/p}$ .

**Lemma 7.7.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have*

$$\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}$$

*Proof.* Consider first the case  $p = 1$ . By Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^1} &= \int |f * \omega_\varepsilon(x)|dx \leq \int \int |f(y)|\omega_\varepsilon(x-y)dydx = \int |f(y)| \int \omega_\varepsilon(x-y)dx dy \\ &= \int |f(y)|dy = \|f\|_{L^1} \end{aligned}$$

Let now  $p > 1$ . For  $p$  and  $q$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  we have by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |f * \omega_\varepsilon|^p &\leq \left( \int |f(y)|\omega_\varepsilon(x-y)dy \right)^p = \left( \int |f(y)|\omega_\varepsilon(x-y)^{1/p} \omega_\varepsilon(x-y)^{1/q} dy \right)^p \\ &\leq \left( \int |f(y)|^p \omega_\varepsilon(x-y)dy \right) \left( \int \omega_\varepsilon(x-y)dy \right)^{p/q} = \int |f(y)|^p \omega_\varepsilon(x-y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int |f * \omega_\varepsilon(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left( \int \left( \int |f(y)|^p \omega_\varepsilon(x-y)dy \right) dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left( \int |f(y)|^p \left( \int \omega_\varepsilon(x-y)dx \right) dy \right)^{1/p} = \left( \int |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

which proves lemma.  $\square$

Now let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . By the classical theory of Lebesgue integral given  $\tau > 0$  there exists  $A > 0$  and a function  $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } h \subset \{|x| \leq A\}$  such that

$$\|f - h\|_{L^p} \leq \tau$$

Then by Lemma 7.7 we have

$$\|f_\varepsilon - h_\varepsilon\|_{L^p} = \|(f - h)_\varepsilon\|_{L^p} \leq \|f - h\|_{L^p} \leq \tau$$

for every  $\varepsilon$ . Furthermore,  $\text{supp } h_\varepsilon \subset K = \{|x| \leq A+1\}$  for  $\varepsilon$  small enough. Hence, by (ii)  $h_\varepsilon \rightarrow h$ ,  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$  in  $C(K)$ . Hence

$$\|h - h_\varepsilon\|_{L^p} \leq \tau$$

for all  $\varepsilon$  small enough. Thus

$$\|f - f_\varepsilon\|_{L^p} \leq \|f - h\|_{L^p} + \|h - h_\varepsilon\|_{L^p} + \|h_\varepsilon - f_\varepsilon\|_{L^p} \leq 3\tau$$

which concludes the proof of Proposition.  $\square$

**7.3. Partition of unity.** Recall some terminology. A family of open subsets  $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *covering* of a subset  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$ . A covering is called *locally finite* if every point  $x \in X$  admits a neighborhood  $V$  such that the intersection  $V \cap U_\alpha$  is not empty only for a finite subset of  $\alpha$  in  $A$ . A covering  $(V_\beta)_{\beta \in B}$  is called a *subcovering* of  $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  if for every  $\beta \in B$  there exists  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $V_\beta \subset U_\alpha$ . We denote by  $\dot{X}$  the interior of  $X$ .

**Proposition 7.8.** *Let  $X$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $(U_\alpha)$  be its covering. Then there exists a locally finite subcovering  $(V_\beta)$  of  $(U_\alpha)$  with the following property: for every  $\beta$  the set  $V_\beta$  contains the closure of some ball  $B(p_\beta, r_\beta)$  and these open balls  $(B(p_\beta, r_\beta))$  form a locally finite subcovering  $(W_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of  $(V_\beta)$ .*

*Proof.* There exists a sequence  $K_j$  of compact subsets of  $X$  such that

- (i)  $X_j \subset \dot{X}_{j+1}$
- (ii)  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty K_j$ .

We set

$$K_j = \{z \in X : |z| \leq j, \text{dist}(z, \partial X) \geq 1/j\}.$$

Since  $K_i \setminus \dot{K}_{i-1}$  is compact, one can choose  $U_{\alpha_1}^i, \dots, U_{\alpha_{k_i}}^i$  a finite subset of  $(U_\alpha)$  with  $(K_i \setminus \dot{K}_{i-1}) \subset U_{\alpha_1}^i \cup \dots \cup U_{\alpha_{k_i}}^i$ . Set  $V_j^i = U_{\alpha_j}^i \cap K_i \setminus \dot{K}_{i-2}$ . Then  $(V_j^i)$  is a locally finite subcovering. For every  $p \in V_\beta$  consider a ball  $B(p, r(p))$  contained in  $V_\beta$  for all  $\beta$  with  $p \in V_\beta$ . Then this family of balls form a subcovering of  $(V_\beta)$  and we apply a previous construction extracting a locally finite subcovering by balls.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.9.** *Let  $X$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $(U_\alpha)$  be its covering. Then there exists a locally finite subcovering  $(W_\gamma)$  and a family of functions  $\eta_\gamma \in C_0^\infty(W_\gamma)$  with the following properties:*

- (i)  $\eta_\gamma(x) \geq 0$  for every  $x \in X$  and every  $\gamma$ .
- (ii)  $\sum_\gamma \eta_\gamma(x) = 1$  for every  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Consider locally finite subcoverings  $(W_\gamma)$  and  $(V_\beta)$  constructed in previous proposition. Fix  $\gamma$ . By construction, there exists a finite number of  $\beta$  such that the closed ball  $\overline{W_\gamma}$  is contained in  $V_\beta$ . By Corollary ?? there exists a function  $\phi_\gamma \in C_0^\infty(\cap_{W_\gamma \subset V_\beta} V_\beta)$  valued in  $[0, 1]$  and equal to 1 on  $W_\gamma$ . Hence  $\phi(x) = \sum_\gamma \phi_\gamma(x)$  is correctly defined for every  $x \in X$  and  $\phi(x) > 0$ . Now set  $\eta_\gamma(x) = \phi_\gamma(x)/\phi(x)$ .  $\square$

The family  $(\eta_\gamma)$  is called a *partition of unity* subordinated to the covering  $(W_\gamma)$ .