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## 1. Mean Value Theorem

1.1. Review: limit, continuity, differentiability. We denote by $\mathbb{R}$ the set of real numbers. A domain $D$ of $\mathbb{R}$ is any subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Typically this will be on open interval $(a, b)$ or a closed interval $[a, b]$. A function of a real variable is a function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $D$ is a domain of $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1.1 (The $\epsilon-\delta$ Definition). We say that a function $f(x)$ has a limit $L$ as $x$ approaches a point $x_{0}$ and write $\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}} f(x)=L$, if for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that whenever $0<\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\delta$ (and $x \in D$ ) we have $|f(x)-L|<\epsilon$.

The meaning of the above definition is that by choosing a sufficiently small interval $\left(x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right)$ of the point $x_{0}$ we can ensure that the values of $f(x)$ on this interval (excluding $x_{0}$ ) do not deviate from $L$ by more than $\epsilon$.

Definition 1.2. We say that a function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at a point $x_{0} \in D$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}} f(x)=f\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the $\epsilon-\delta$ definition this can be stated as follows: given $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that whenever $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\delta$ we have $\left|f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right|<\epsilon$.

Example 1.1. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as $f(x)=x$. Let $x_{0}$ be any real number. Then $f(x)$ is continuous at $x_{0}$. Indeed, using the $\epsilon-\delta$ definition we have $\left|f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right|=\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\epsilon$. This inequality can be ensured by taking $\delta=\epsilon$. $\diamond$

Theorem 1.3. If $f$ and $g$ are continuous functions on a domain $D$, then so are the functions $f+g$, $f \cdot g$, and $c \cdot f$, where $c$ is any constant. The function $f / g$ is continuous at all points of $D$ where $g \neq 0$. Further, if $g$ is a function defined on the range of $f$, then the function $g \circ f=g(f(x))$ is continuous on $D$.

Using the above theorem and the fact that $f(x)=x$ is a continuous function as shown in Example 1.1, we conclude that any polynomial is a continuous function, and any rational function (the quotient of two polynomials) is continuous at all points where the denominator does not vanish.

Example 1.2. Let

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x \neq 0 \\ 1, & x=0\end{cases}
$$

Then $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$ exists and equals zero, but it differs from the value of $f$ at the origin since $f(0)=1$. Therefore, equation (1) does not hold, and $f(x)$ is not continuous at the origin. However, letting $f(0)=0$ will make this function continuous everywhere. $\diamond$


Figure 1. The graph of $\sin \frac{1}{x}$


Figure 2. The graph of $x \sin \frac{1}{x}$

Example 1.3. Let

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\sin \frac{1}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x=0\end{cases}
$$

The function $f$ (see Fig. 1) is defined for all $x$. It is continuous for all $x \neq 0$ because it is a product of continuous functions $x$ and $\sin 1 / x$. But $f(x)$ does not have a limit as $x \rightarrow 0$ (why?), and therefore $f(x)$ is not continuous at the origin. Note that there is no choice of $f(0)$ that will make this function continuous at the origin. $\diamond$

Example 1.4. Let

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}x \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x=0\end{cases}
$$

This function (see Fig. 2) is continuous everywhere. To prove the continuity at the origin, let us verify the $\epsilon-\delta$ definition. We have

$$
|f(x)-f(0)|=\left|x \sin \frac{1}{x}\right|<\epsilon
$$

Since $\left|x \sin \frac{1}{x}\right|<|x|$ for all $x \neq 0$, we have

$$
|f(x)-f(0)|=\left|x \sin \frac{1}{x}\right|<|x|<\epsilon
$$

and so we may take $\delta=\epsilon$. Intuitively, $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x \sin \frac{1}{x}=0$ because $\sin \frac{1}{x}$ is bounded between -1 and 1 , whereas $x$ approaches zero. $\diamond$
Definition 1.4. Let $f(x)$ be defined on an interval $D \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $x_{0} \in D$. We say that $f(x)$ is differentiable at $x_{0}$ if the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(x_{0}+h\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{h} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists. The value of the limit is defined to be $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$, the derivative of $f$ at $x_{0}$.
Example 1.5. Let

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}x \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x=0\end{cases}
$$

This function is continuous but not differentiable at the origin. The continuity was shown in Example 1.4. As for nondifferentiability, we have

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0+h)-f(0)}{h}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h \sin \frac{1}{h}}{h}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \sin \frac{1}{h},
$$

which does not exist. $\diamond$
Example 1.6. Let

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}x^{2} \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x=0\end{cases}
$$

This function (see Fig. 3) is continuous everywhere because it is the product of continuous functions $x$ and $x \sin 1 / x$ (as discussed in Example 1.4). To prove differentiability of this function at the origin let us compute the corresponding limit in (2).

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0+h)-f(0)}{h}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h^{2} \sin \frac{1}{h}}{h}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} h \sin \frac{1}{h} .
$$

As we saw in Example 1.4 this limit equals 0 . Thus $f^{\prime}(0)=0$. $\diamond$

### 1.2. Mean Value Theorem.

Definition 1.5. Suppose $f(x)$ is a function defined on a domain $D$. The function $f(x)$ is said to have an absolute (global) maximum at a point $c \in D$, if $f(c) \geq f(x)$ for all $x \in D$. The number $f(c)$ is called the absolute (global) maximum value of $f$ on the domain $D$. The function $f$ has an absolute (global) minimum at $c \in D$, if $f(c) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in D$. The number $f(c)$ is called the absolute (global) minimum value of $f$ on the domain $D$.
Theorem 1.6. If $f(x)$ is continuous on a closed interval $[a, b]$, then $f(x)$ attains a maximum and a minimum value.


Figure 3. The graph of $x^{2} \sin \frac{1}{x}$
The above theorem can be proved using the Axiom of Completeness for real numbers which will be stated when we discuss sequences.

Definition 1.7. The function $f$ defined on a domain $D$ has a local maximum at a point $c \in D$, if there is an open interval $I \subset D$, such that $c \in I$, and $f(c) \geq f(x)$ for all $x \in I$. The function $f$ has a local minimum at $c \in D$, if there is an open interval $I \subset D$, such that $c \in I$, and $f(c) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in I$.

Maxima and minima are called extreme points, or extrema.
Lemma 1.8. Let $f(x)$ be a differentiable function on an interval $(a, b)$. Suppose $x_{0} \in(a, b)$. If $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, then for $x<x_{0}$ close to $x_{0}$ we have $f(x)<f\left(x_{0}\right)$, and $f(x)>f\left(x_{0}\right)$ for $x>x_{0}$ and close to $x_{0}$.

The lemma above simply states that if $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, then $f(x)$ is an increasing function near $x_{0}$. A similar statement holds if we assume that $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)<0$ (see Exercise 1.2).

Proof. By definition,

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}} \frac{f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{x-x_{0}} .
$$

If $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, then there exists a small interval $\left(x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{x-x_{0}}>0, \text { for } x \neq x_{0} .
$$

Suppose first that $x_{0}<x<x_{0}+\delta$. Then $x-x_{0}>0$, and from the above inequality we conclude that $f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, or $f(x)>f\left(x_{0}\right)$. Now, if $x_{0}-\delta<x<x_{0}$, then $x-x_{0}<0$, and the same inequality shows that $f(x)<f\left(x_{0}\right)$.
Theorem 1.9 (Fermat's Theorem). ${ }^{1}$ Let $f(x)$ be defined on an interval $[a, b]$, and suppose that $f(x)$ attains a maximal (or minimal) value at a point $c \in(a, b)$. If $f(x)$ is differentiable at $x=c$, then $f^{\prime}(c)=0$.

[^0]Proof. We will assume that $c$ is a maximum of $f(x)$, the case when $c$ is a minimum can be treated in a similar way. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $f^{\prime}(c) \neq 0$. Then either $f^{\prime}(c)>0$ or $f^{\prime}(c)<0$. If $f^{\prime}(c)>0$, then Lemma 1.8 implies that $f(x)>f(c)$ for $x>c$ with $x$ sufficiently close to $c$. Similarly, if $f^{\prime}(c)<0$, then $f(x)>f(c)$ for $x<c$. In both cases we see that $f(c)$ cannot be the maximum value of the function $f$. This contradiction proves the theorem.

Geometrically, Fermat's theorem states that at extreme points the tangent line to the graph of the function $f$ is horizontal, which should be intuitively clear. Also note, that if a maximal or a minimum value is attained at the end point of the interval $[a, b]$, then Fermat's theorem need not to hold.

Definition 1.10. A point $c$ is called a critical point of a differentiable function $f(x)$ if $f^{\prime}(c)=0$.
Fermat's theorem now can be stated as follows: if $c$ is a local maximum or minimum of a function $f(x)$, then $c$ is a critical point of $f$. The converse to this statement is false: if $f^{\prime}(c)=0$, then it does not follow in general that $c$ is a local maximum or a local minimum of $f(x)$. For example, if $f(x)=x^{3}$, then $f^{\prime}(0)=0$, but the origin is not an extreme point of $x^{3}$.
Theorem 1.11 (Rolle's Theorem). ${ }^{2}$ Suppose $f(x)$ is continuous on the interval $[a, b]$ and differentiable on $(a, b)$, and $f(a)=f(b)$. Then there exists a number $c \in(a, b)$ such that $f^{\prime}(c)=0$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.6, a continuous function on a closed interval $[a, b]$ attains its maximum value, say, $M$, and its minimum value, say, $m$. Consider two cases:

1. Suppose $M=m$. Then $f(x)$ on $[a, b]$ is a constant function, since $m \leq f(x) \leq M=m$ for all $x \in[a, b]$. Therefore, $f^{\prime}(x)=0$ for all $x$.
2. Suppose $M>m$. Since $f(a)=f(b)$, we know that either $M$ or $m$ is attained at some point $c$ inside the interval ( $a, b$ ), (i.e., not at the end points of the interval). In this case, it follows from Fermat's theorem that $f^{\prime}(c)$ must be zero.

Geometrically, Rolle's theorem states that if $f(a)=f(b)$, then there is a point $c$ between $a$ and $b$ such that the tangent line to the graph of $f$ at point $c$ is horizontal. This occurs at a local maximum or a local minimum of $f(x)$.

Theorem 1.12 (Mean Value Theorem). Suppose that $f(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on ( $a, b$ ). Then there exists a point $c \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}=f^{\prime}(c) .
$$

Proof. Define an auxiliary function

$$
F(x)=f(x)-f(a)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}(x-a) .
$$

This function satisfies the conditions of Rolle's theorem. Indeed, it is continuous on $[a, b]$, because it is a difference of a continuous function $f(x)$ and a linear (hence continuous!) function

$$
f(a)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}(x-a) .
$$

On the interval $(a, b)$, we have

$$
F^{\prime}(x)=f^{\prime}(x)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} .
$$

[^1]Finally, $F(a)=f(a)-f(a)=0$, and $F(b)=f(b)-f(a)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}(b-a)=f(b)-f(a)-(f(b)-$ $f(a))=0$, and so $F(a)=F(b)$.

Therefore, we may apply Rolle's theorem to the function $F(x)$, and so there exists a point $c \in(a, b)$ such that $F^{\prime}(c)=0$. This means that

$$
f^{\prime}(c)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}=0 .
$$

This implies

$$
f^{\prime}(c)=\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}
$$

which is exactly what we wanted to prove.
1.3. Proving inequalities. The Mean Value Theorem can be used for proving inequalities.

Example 1.7. Prove that if $x>0$, then

$$
\ln (1+x)<x .
$$

Solution. Let $a=0, b=x$, and $f(x)=\ln (1+x)-x$. Then $f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{1+x}-1=-\frac{x}{1+x}$. By the Mean Value Theorem applied to the function $f$ on the interval $[a, b]=[0, x]$, there exists a point $c \in(0, x)$ such that

$$
f^{\prime}(c)=\frac{f(x)-f(0)}{x-0},
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{c}{1+c}=\frac{\ln (1+x)-x}{x} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $c>0$, and therefore, $-\frac{c}{1+c}<0$. Therefore, equation (3) implies

$$
\frac{\ln (1+x)-x}{x}<0 .
$$

Since $x>0$, the numerator in the above inequality must be negative, i.e.,

$$
\ln (1+x)-x<0
$$

which is what we had to prove. $\diamond$
Example 1.8. Prove that if $x>0$, and $n>1$, then

$$
(1+x)^{n}>1+n x .
$$

Solution. Let $a=0$, and $b=x$, and $f(x)=(1+x)^{n}-(1+n x)$. Then $f^{\prime}(x)=n(1+x)^{n-1}-n$, and by the Mean Value Theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(1+c)^{n-1}-n=\frac{(1+x)^{n}-(1+n x)-0}{x} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c \in(0, x)$. Note that $1+c>1$, and for $n>1$, we have $(1+c)^{n-1}>1$. Therefore,

$$
n(1+c)^{n-1}-n>0 .
$$

From this and equation (4) we conclude that

$$
\frac{(1+x)^{n}-(1+n x)}{x}>0 .
$$

Since $x>0$, this yields the desired inequality. $\diamond$

## Exercises

1.1. Show that the function in Example 1.6 does not have the second order derivative at $x=0$.
1.2. Formulate and prove a statement similar to Lemma 1.8 for the case when $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)<0$.
1.3. Give an example of a function which is defined on the closed interval $[0,1]$ but is not bounded there.
1.4. Give an example of a function which is continuous on the interval $(-\infty, 0]$ but does not attain a maximum or a minimum value.
1.5. On the interval $(0,1)$ find a point $c$ such that the tangent line to the graph of the function $y=x^{3}$ at the point $\left(c, c^{3}\right)$ is parallel to the straight line passing through the points $(0,0)$ and $(1,1)$.
1.6. Prove that if a nonconstant function $f(x)$ satisfies the conditions of Rolle's theorem on the interval $[a, b]$, then there exist points $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ on the interval $(a, b)$ such that $f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)<0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)>0$.

In the next problems prove the given inequality using the Mean Value Theorem.
1.7. $2 \sqrt{x}>3-\frac{1}{x}, \quad$ for $x>1$.
1.8. $\sin x<x$, for $x>0$.
1.9. $\cos x>1-\frac{x^{2}}{2}$, for $x>0$.
1.10. $\sin x>x-\frac{x^{3}}{6}$, for $x>0$.
1.11. $\tan x>x$, for $0<x<\frac{\pi}{2}$.
1.12. $e^{x}>1+x, \quad$ for $x>0$.
1.13. $e^{x}>1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}, \quad$ for $x>0$.
1.14. $e^{x}>1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\cdots+\frac{x^{n}}{n!}$, for $x>0$. (Hint: use mathematical induction)


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is a modern formulation of the theorem. It captures the essence of Fermat's method for finding maximal and minimal values of a function. The notion of derivative was not yet developed at Fermat's time.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Despite the name, Rolle only suggested this result for polynomials.

