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## 1. Functions of several variables: Differentiation

1.1. Vector Space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We view $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as a $n$-dimensional vector space over the field of real numbers with the usual addition of vectors and multiplication by scalars. The scalar or dot product of two vectors $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \cdot y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with this dot product $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ forms and $n$-dimensional Euclidean space. The norm of a vector is then defined as

$$
|x|=\sqrt{x \cdot x}
$$

This norm satisfies the following three properties:
(i) $|x| \geq 0,|x|=0$ iff $x=0$;
(ii) $|c x|=|c||x|$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$;
(iii) $|x+y| \leq|x|+|y|$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

A vector space with a norm satisfying the above three properties is called a normed space. The norm also induces a metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by

$$
d(x, y)=|x-y|=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}}
$$

which is, of course, the standard Euclidean distance in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. One can verify that this metric satisfies all three required properties: (i) $d(x, y) \geq 0, d(x, y)=0$ iff $x=y$; (ii) $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$; (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)+d(z, y)$ for any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. To prove properties (iii) of the norm and of the metric in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ one can use so-called Minkowski's inequality:

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}+b_{i}\right)^{k}\right)^{1 / k} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{k}\right)^{1 / k}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{k}\right)^{1 / k}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i} \geq 0$, and $k>1$. In fact, Minkowski's inequality is a special case of the Hölder inequality:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} b_{i} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1} a_{i}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1} b_{i}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i} \geq 0, p, q>1,1 / p+1 / q=1$. Note that when $p=q=2$ the Hölder inequality can be written in the form $a \cdot b \leq|a| \cdot|b|$.

The topology on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is induced by the metric: a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called open if every point $x \in \Omega$ is contained in $\Omega$ together with a small ball

$$
\mathbb{B}(x, \varepsilon)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x-y|<\varepsilon\right\}, \quad \varepsilon>0
$$

This topology gives $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ the structure of a complete metric space, i.e, every Cauchy sequence with respect to the metric converges to an element of the space. Further, $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},|\cdot|\right)$ is a Banach space,
i.e., a complete normed space. A Banach space is called a Hilbert space if its norm comes from a scalar product. Thus, $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a Hilbert space with the scalar product defined by (1).

A map $A: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is called linear if $A(a x+b y)=a A(x)+b A(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. A linear map can be identified with a $n \times m$ matrix with real coefficients. We define the norm of a linear map as

$$
\|A\|=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n},|x| \leq 1}|A x| .
$$

It follows immediately from the definition that $|A h| \leq\|A\| \cdot|h|$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
A map $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is called affine if $f(x)=A x+B$, where $A$ is a linear map, and $B$ is a constant vector.
1.2. Continuity. A domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a connected open set. Given a function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and point $x_{0} \in \Omega$, we say that $f$ is continuous at $x_{0}$ if for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\left|f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$ whenever $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\delta$.
Theorem 1.1. A function $f$ is continuous at $x_{0}$ if and only if $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x^{j}\right)=f\left(x_{0}\right)$ for any sequence of point $\left(x^{j}\right) \rightarrow x_{0}$.

The proof of $\Rightarrow$ follows from the definition of continuity. To prove the converse formulate the negation of continuity of a function and get a contradiction with the assumption.
Example 1.1. The function $f(x, y)=\frac{x y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}$ does not have a limit as $x, y \rightarrow 0$, and thus does not admit continuous extension to the origin. On the other hand, the function $g(x, y)=\frac{x^{2} y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}$ has limit equal to 0 as $x, y \rightarrow 0$, which follows from the estimate

$$
\left|\frac{x^{2} y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right|=\left|\frac{x y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right||x| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x| .
$$

Hence, $g$ become continuous at the origin after setting $g(0)=0$. $\diamond$
Continuity of maps $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is defined similarly.
1.3. Differentiability. Recall that for $n=1$, a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called differentiable at a point $x$ if the limit

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}
$$

exists. This implies that

$$
f(x+h)-f(x)=f^{\prime}(x) \cdot h+r(h),
$$

where $r(h)=o(h)$, i.e., $r(h) / h \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. The definition of differentiability in higher dimensions is defined similarly.
Definition 1.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a domain, $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a map, $x \in \Omega$. If there exists a linear map $A: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(x+h)-f(x)-A h|}{|h|}=0, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we say that $f$ is differentiable at $x$ and we write $D f(x)=f^{\prime}(x)=A$. If $f$ is differentiable at every point of $\Omega$, then we say that $f$ is differentiable on $\Omega$. The map $A$ is called the differential of $f$ at $x$, and the corresponding matrix is called the Jacobian matrix of $f$.

Theorem 1.3. If the above definition holds for $A=A_{1}$ and $A=A_{2}$ then $A_{1}=A_{2}$.

Proof. Let $B=A_{1}-A_{2}$. Then

$$
|B h| \leq\left|f(x+h)-f(x)-A_{1} h\right|+\left|f(x+h)-f(x)-A_{2} h\right| .
$$

Hence, by differentiability of $f$, we have $\frac{|B h|}{|h|} \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. It is a straightforward exercise to verify that for a linear map $B$ this implies that $B \equiv 0$.

Example 1.2. The Jacobian matrix of a linear map $A: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ coincides with the matrix that represents the map $A$, i.e, $A^{\prime}(x)=A$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. $\diamond$

Theorem 1.4 (The Chain Rule). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a domain, and $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a differentiable map at $a \in \Omega$. Suppose that $g: f(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l}$ is a map differentiable at $f(a)$. Then the map $F=g \circ f=g(f)$ is differentiable at $a$ and

$$
F^{\prime}(a)=g^{\prime}(f(a)) \cdot f^{\prime}(a) .
$$

Note that the product in the above formula is just the matrix multiplication of the Jacobian matrices $g^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $b=f(a)$. We set $A=f^{\prime}(a), B=g^{\prime}(b), U(h)=f(a+h)-f(a)-A h$, and $V(k)=$ $g(b+k)-g(b)-B k$, where $h \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(h)=\frac{|U(h)|}{|h|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } h \rightarrow 0, \quad \mu(k)=\frac{|V(k)|}{|k|} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow 0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a vector $h$ we set $k=f(a+h)-f(a)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|k|=|A h+U(h)| \leq(\|A\|+\nu(h))|h|, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
F(a+h)-F(a)-B A h=g(b+k)-g(b)-B A h=B(k-A h)+V(k)=B U(h)+V(k) .
$$

Hence, (3) and (4) imply that for $h \neq 0$,

$$
\frac{|F(a+h)-F(a)-B A h|}{|h|} \leq(\|B\| \mid \nu(h)+(\|A\|+\nu(h)) \mu(k) .
$$

Letting $h \rightarrow 0$ we have $\nu(h) \rightarrow 0$, and $k \rightarrow 0$ by (4), so $\mu(k) \rightarrow 0$. From this it follows that $F^{\prime}(a)=B A$ as required.

Example 1.3. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a differentiable map at $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that in a neighbourhood of $f(a)$ the map $f^{-1}$ is defined and differentiable. Then the composition of $f^{-1} \circ f$ is a differentiable map whose differential at $a$ by the Chain Rule equals

$$
\left(f^{-1} \circ f\right)^{\prime}(a)=\left(f^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(f(a)) \cdot f^{\prime}(a) .
$$

On the other hand, the differential of the identity map is the identity, and we conclude that the matrix corresponding to $\left(f^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(f(a))$ is the inverse matrix to that of $f^{\prime}(a)$. $\diamond$

Let $e_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0), e_{2}=(0,1,0, \ldots, 0), \ldots e_{n}=(0, \ldots, 0,1)$ be the standard basis in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and denote by $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ the standard basis in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. For a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the map $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x) u_{i}=\left(f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{m}(x)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $f_{i}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function. For a function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the limit

$$
\left(D_{j} f\right)(x)=D_{x_{j}} f(x)=f_{x_{j}}(x)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(x+t e_{j}\right)-f(x)}{t},
$$

if exists, is called a partial derivative with respect to variable $x_{j}$. Unlike functions of one variable, existence of partial derivatives does not imply in general that a function is differentiable, for example the function $f(x, y)$ in Example 1.1 has partial derivatives everywhere with respect to variables $x$ and $y$, but is not even continuous at the origin. Examples of continuous functions that have partial derivatives but are not differentiable also exist.

Applying partial derivatives with respect to variable $x_{j}$ to components $f_{i}$ of a map $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ we obtain a matrix $\left(\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)$. As it turns out, if $f$ is differentiable at a point $x \in \Omega$, then all partial derivatives exist.

Theorem 1.5. Suppose $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is differentiable at $x \in \Omega$. Then $\left(D_{j} f_{i}\right)(x)$ exist for all $i, j$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x) e_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(D_{j} f_{i}\right)(x) u_{i}=\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{j}}, \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{j}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix $j$. Since $f$ is differentiable at $x, f\left(x+t e_{j}\right)-f(x)=f^{\prime}(x)\left(t e_{j}\right)+r\left(t e_{j}\right)$, where $r\left(t e_{j}\right)=o(t)$. Then by the linearity of $f^{\prime}(x)$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(x+t e_{j}\right)-f(x)}{t}=f^{\prime}(x) e_{j} .
$$

If now $f$ is represented component-wise as in (5), then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{f_{i}\left(x+t e_{j}\right)-f_{i}(x)}{t} u_{i}=f^{\prime}(x) e_{j} .
$$

Thus, each coefficient in front of $u_{i}$ has a limit, which shows existence of the partial derivatives of $f$ and proves (6).

It follows from the above theorem that the Jacobian matrix $f^{\prime}(x)$ is given by

$$
D f(x)=f^{\prime}(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} \\
\cdots & & \cdots \\
\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{n}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Example 1.4. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, and let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a differentiable map, $\gamma=$ $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)$. Its image in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a called a (parametrized) curve. Its differential at a point $t_{0} \in I$ is a column or an $n \times 1$ matrix of the form

$$
D \gamma\left(t_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{d \gamma_{1}}{d t}\left(t_{0}\right), \ldots, \frac{d \gamma_{n}}{d t}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)^{T}
$$

Note that we used the usual notation for the derivative because each component of $\gamma$ is a function of one variable $t$. (Here $T$ denotes the transposition of a matrix.) The curve $\gamma$ is called smooth if the vector $D \gamma(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function. Then its differential is the $1 \times n$ matrix

$$
D f=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}\right)
$$

The composition function $g=f \circ \gamma$ is a usual function of one variable. By the Chain Rule its derivative can be computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d g}{d t}(t)=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{d \gamma_{1}}{d t}, \ldots, \frac{d \gamma_{n}}{d t}\right)^{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(\gamma(t)) \frac{d \gamma_{i}}{d t}(t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\diamond$
The above example has an important generalization. For a differentiable function $f$, define $\nabla f$, called the gradient of $f$, to be the vector given by

$$
\nabla f(x)=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(D_{i} f\right)(x) e_{i} .
$$

Then (7) can be written in the form $g^{\prime}(t)=\nabla f(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma^{\prime}(t)$, where the dot indicates the dot product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $u$ now be a unit vector, and let $\gamma(t)=x+t u$ be the line in the direction of $u$. Then $\gamma^{\prime}(t)=u$ for all $t$, and so $g^{\prime}(0)=(\nabla f(x)) u$. On the other hand, $g(t)-g(0)=f(x+t u)-f(x)$, hence,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+t u)-f(x)}{t}=\nabla f(x) \cdot u .
$$

This is called the directional derivative of $f$ at $x$ in the direction of vector $u$, denoted sometimes by $D_{u} f(x)$ or $\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}$. For a fixed $f$ and $x$ it is clear that the directional derivative attains its maximum if $u$ is a positive multiple of $\nabla f$. So $\nabla f$ gives the direction of the fastest growth of the function $f$.
Theorem 1.6. Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $f$ has partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}$ on $\Omega$, which are continuous at a point a for $j=1, \ldots, n$, then $f$ is differentiable at a.

Proof. For simplicity of notation we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, the proof in the general case is the same. We need to show that there exists a linear map $A: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that (2) holds. The clear choice for $A$ is the matrix $\left(D_{1} f, D_{2} f\right)$. Let $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$. For a fixed $h=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\Delta f=f(a+h)-f(a)=\left[f(a+h)-f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+h_{2}\right)\right]+\left[f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+h_{2}\right)-f(a)\right] .
$$

We apply the Mean Value theorem to two expressions on the right to obtain

$$
\Delta f:=h_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\left(a_{1}+\theta_{1} h_{1}, a_{2}+h_{2}\right)+h_{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+\theta_{2} h_{2}\right)
$$

for some numbers $\theta_{i} \in(0,1)$. Hence,

$$
\Delta f=h_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}(a)+h_{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}(a)+\varepsilon(h),
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon(h)=h_{1}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\left(a_{1}+\theta_{1} h_{1}, a_{2}+h_{2}\right)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}(a)\right)+h_{2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+\theta_{2} h_{2}\right)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}(a)\right) .
$$

By continuity of partial derivatives we obtain

$$
\frac{\left|\Delta f-D_{1} f(a) h_{1}-D_{2} f(a) h_{2}\right|}{|h|}=\frac{|\varepsilon(h)|}{|h|} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } h \rightarrow 0
$$

which is the required statement.
Definition 1.7. A map $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is called continuously differentiable, or of class $C^{1}(\Omega)$, if $f^{\prime}(x)$ is a continuous function on $\Omega$, i.e., for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\left\|f^{\prime}(y)-f^{\prime}(x)\right\|<\varepsilon$ whenever $|x-y|<\delta$.

Theorem 1.8. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a domain and $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Then $f \in C^{1}(\Omega)$ if and only if all partial derivatives exist and are continuous on $\Omega$.
Proof. Suppose that $f \in C^{1}(\Omega)$. Then

$$
\left(D_{j} f_{i}\right)(x)=\left[f^{\prime}(x) e_{j}\right] u_{i}=\left[\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} \\
\cdots & & \cdots \\
\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{n}}
\end{array}\right) e_{j}\right] \cdot u_{i},
$$

for all $i, j$ and $x \in \Omega$ (we continue to use the notation $\left\{u_{j}\right\}$ for the standard basis in the target domain). Then

$$
\left(D_{j} f_{i}\right)(y)-\left(D_{j} f_{i}\right)(x)=\left[\left(f^{\prime}(y)-f^{\prime}(x)\right) e_{j}\right] u_{i} .
$$

Since $\left|u_{i}\right|=\left|e_{j}\right|=1$, we have

$$
\mid\left(D_{j} f_{i}(y)-\left(D_{j} f_{i}\right)(x) \mid \leq\left\|f^{\prime}(y)-f^{\prime}(x)\right\|,\right.
$$

which shows continuity of $D_{j} f_{i}$.
For the proof of the theorem in the other direction it suffices to consider the case $m=1$, i.e., when $f$ is a function. This can be proved using Theorem 1.6, which is left as an exercise for the reader.

Suppose that $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with partial derivatives $D_{1} f, \ldots, D_{n} f$. If the functions $D_{j} f$ are themselves differentiable, then the second-order partial derivatives of $f$ are defined by

$$
D_{i j} f=D_{i} D_{j} f=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(i, j=1, \ldots, n) .
$$

If all functions $D_{i j} f$ are continuous on $\Omega$, we say that $f$ is of class $C^{2}(\Omega)$ and write $f \in C^{2}(\Omega)$. In the same way we define derivatives of any order and functions of class $C^{k}(\Omega), k=1,2, \ldots, \infty$. A map $f \in C^{k}(\Omega)$ if every component of $f$ is of class $C^{k}(\Omega)$.

It may happen that $D_{i j} f \neq D_{j i} f$ at some point where both derivatives exist. But if both derivatives are continuous, the following holds.

Theorem 1.9. Suppose $f$ is defined on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $D_{1} f, D_{2} f, D_{21} f$, and $D_{12} f$ exist at every point of $\Omega$, and $D_{21} f, D_{12} f$ are continuous at some point $a \in \Omega$. Then

$$
\left(D_{12} f\right)(a)=\left(D_{21} f\right)(a) .
$$

In particular, $D_{12} f=D_{21} f$ for $f \in C^{2}(\Omega)$.
Proof. For simplicity assume that $n=2$, as the proof for a general $n$ is the same. Let $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$. Consider the expression

$$
\Delta=\frac{f\left(a_{1}+h, a_{2}+k\right)-f\left(a_{1}+h, a_{2}\right)-f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+k\right)+f(a)}{h k}
$$

where $h, k$ are nonzero, say positive, and sufficiently small. The auxiliary function

$$
\phi\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{1}, a_{2}+k\right)-f\left(x_{1}, a_{2}\right)}{k}
$$

is, by the assumptions of the theorem, differentiable on the interval $\left[a_{1}, a_{1}+h\right]$ with

$$
\phi^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{D_{1} f\left(x_{1}, a_{2}+k\right)-D_{1} f\left(x_{1}, a_{2}\right)}{k},
$$

in particular, it is continuous. Then $\Delta$ can be written in the form

$$
\Delta=\frac{\phi\left(a_{1}+h\right)-\phi\left(a_{1}\right)}{h} .
$$

By the Mean Value theorem applied to $\phi\left(x_{1}\right)$ on $\left[a_{1}, a_{1}+h\right]$ we get for some $0<\theta<1$,

$$
\Delta=\phi^{\prime}\left(a_{1}+\theta h\right)=\frac{D_{1} f\left(a_{1}+\theta h, a_{2}+k\right)-D_{1} f\left(a_{1}+\theta h, a_{2}\right)}{k} .
$$

Since $D_{12} f$ exists, we apply the Mean Value theorem to $D_{1} f\left(a_{1}+\theta h, x_{2}\right)$ on the interval $\left[a_{2}, a_{2}+k\right]$ to get for $0<\theta_{1}<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=D_{21} f\left(a_{1}+\theta h, a_{2}+\theta_{1} k\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the symmetry in $\Delta$ we can interchange the variables in the auxiliary function by considering

$$
\psi\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{f\left(a_{1}+h, x_{2}\right)-f\left(a_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{h}
$$

and obtain analogously that for some $0<\theta_{2}, \theta_{3}<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=D_{12} f\left(a_{1}+\theta_{2} h, a_{2}+\theta_{3} k\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (8) and (9) we conclude that

$$
D_{21} f\left(a_{1}+\theta h, a_{2}+\theta_{1} k\right)=D_{12} f\left(a_{1}+\theta_{2} h, a_{2}+\theta_{3} k\right)
$$

By letting $h, k \rightarrow 0$, and from continuity of the second order derivatives the result follows.
Definition similar to (1.2) also works for general Banach spaces of arbitrary dimension. We say that a map $f: V \rightarrow W$ between two Banach spaces is differentiable at a point $a \in V$, if there exists a continuous linear map (operator) $A:=D f(a): V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(a+h)-f(a)-A h\|}{\|h\|}=0 .
$$

Note that the requirement is that the map $A$ is linear and continuous which is essential for infinite dimensional spaces. The map $D f$ is also called the Fréchet derivative of the map $f$. Proofs of Theorem 1.3 and the Chain Rule given in this section can be adjusted for this more general setting. If the derivative of $f$ exists at every point of $V$, then $D f$ becomes the map

$$
D f: V \rightarrow B(V, W) ; \quad x \rightarrow D f(x)
$$

Here $B(V, W)$ denotes the space of continuous linear operators from $V$ to $W$, a Banach space itself. The map $f$ is called continuously differentiable if $D f$ is continuous. Note that this is not the same as to say that the map $D f(x)$ is continuous for every $x$, the latter is part of the definition of differentiability of $f$ at $x$. From this the higher order derivatives are defined inductively.

