

REAL ANALYSIS LECTURE NOTES

RASUL SHAFIKOV

5. DIVERGENCE THEOREM AND CONSEQUENCES

5.1. Integration on hypersurfaces. By a hypersurface Γ of class C^k in \mathbb{R}^n , $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we mean a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n admitting a finite covering by open connected subsets U_j : $\Gamma \subset \cup_{j=1}^N U_j$, with the following property: For every j there exists a function $\rho_j \in C^k(U_j)$ such that the gradient $\nabla \rho_j(x) = (\frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial x_n})$ does not vanish in U_j and $\Gamma \cap U_j = \{x \in U_j : \rho_j(x) = 0\}$. Such a function ρ_j is called a *local defining function* of Γ . Very often we will deal with the case when $\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \rho(x) = 0, \nabla \rho \neq 0\}$, where ρ is a C^k -function on \mathbb{R}^n .

Another way to define a hypersurface is through parametrization. Let D be an open connected subset of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an injective map of class $C^k(D)$. The hypersurface $\Gamma = \Phi(D)$ is called a *parametrized hypersurface*.

Example 5.1. On \mathbb{R}^2 with coordinates (x, y) consider for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$\rho(x, y) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{for } x \leq 0, \\ y - x^k, & \text{for } x > 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $\rho(x, y) = 0$ is a hypersurface of class C^{k-1} . It admits a global C^{k-1} -smooth parametrization $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Gamma$ given by $x \mapsto (x, x^k)$ for $x > 0$, and $x \mapsto (x, 0)$ for $x \leq 0$. \diamond

Let now Ω be a bounded domain (an open connected subset) of \mathbb{R}^n with the boundary $\partial\Omega$ consisting of a finite number of disjoint hypersurfaces Γ_k of class C^1 . A local defining function ρ_j for Γ_k as defined above is called a *local defining function of Ω* if $\Omega \cap U_j = \{x \in U_j : \rho_j(x) < 0\}$. Then the gradient vector $\nabla \rho_j(x)$ defines the outward-pointing normal direction to $\partial\Omega$ at a point $x \in \partial\Omega$. We denote by

$$\vec{n}(x) := \frac{\nabla \rho(x)}{|\nabla \rho(x)|}$$

the unit vector in the outward-pointing normal direction. Let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be a boundary point of Ω and ρ be a local defining function of $\partial\Omega$ near p . Since $\nabla \rho(p) \neq 0$, then $\partial \rho(p) / \partial x_k \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. By the Implicit Function theorem there exists a neighbourhood U of p , a function ψ of class C^1 such that

$$(1) \quad \partial\Omega \cap U = \{x \in U : x_k = \psi(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)\}.$$

Shrinking U if necessary we may assume that $U = U' \times U''$, where U' is a ball in the space \mathbb{R}^{n-1} centred at $(p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}, p_{k+1}, \dots, p_n)$ and U'' is an interval in \mathbb{R} centred at p_k . This representation allows us to view $(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$ as local coordinates on $\partial\Omega$: the projection

$$\pi_k : x \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\pi_k : \partial\Omega \cap U \longrightarrow U'$$

is bijective. We point out that

$$\pi_k^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \psi(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

for $(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \in U'$. The map $\pi_k^{-1} : U' \rightarrow \partial\Omega \cap U$ is clearly a local parametrization of the hypersurface $\partial\Omega$. We call U a *coordinate neighbourhood* of p .

Example 5.2. The upper hemisphere $S^+ = S^2 \cap \{z > 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 with coordinates (x, y, z) is the graph of the function $z = \sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}$. The unit normal vector to S^+ at a point $(x, y, z) \in S^+$ is $\vec{n} = (x, y, z)$. \diamond

Now let f be a continuous (this assumption can be considerably weakened) function on $\partial\Omega$. Our goal is to define the integral of f over $\partial\Omega$ as a *surface integral*. If an open set $X \subset \partial\Omega$ admits a parametrization $\Phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Phi(D) = X \subset \partial\Omega$ then we define

$$(2) \quad \int_X f(x) dS = \int_D f \circ \Phi(t) |\vec{N}| dt,$$

where the coordinates of the vector \vec{N} are determined from $\vec{N} = |\det(\nabla\Phi_1, \dots, \nabla\Phi_n, \vec{e})|$. Here $\vec{e} = (\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n)$ is a formal vector whose coordinates are the vectors of the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^n . In fact, one can show that \vec{N} is the normal vector to $X \subset \partial\Omega$.

Now if U is a coordinate neighbourhood where $\partial\Omega$ admits representation as in (1) and X is an open subset in $\partial\Omega \cap U$ then

$$(3) \quad \int_X f dS = \int_{\pi_k(X)} f \circ \pi_k^{-1} (1 + \|\nabla\psi\|^2)^{1/2} dx_1 \dots dx_{k-1} dx_{k+1} \dots dx_n.$$

Both definitions agree because $(1 + \|\nabla\psi\|^2)^{1/2}$ is just the length of the normal vector

$$(4) \quad \vec{N} = \left(\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_1}, \dots, 1, \dots, \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_n} \right),$$

(here 1 is on the k -th position) corresponding to the local parametrization of $\partial\Omega$. We refer to dS or the equivalent expression in a local parametrization as the *hypersurface area measure* (or the *element of the surface area* in some literature). Let ν_k be the angle between $\vec{n} = \vec{N} / \|\vec{N}\|$ and the vector \vec{e}_k (the k -th vector of the standard base of \mathbb{R}^n). Then

$$\cos \nu_k = (\vec{e}_k, \vec{n}) = (1 + \|\nabla\psi\|^2)^{-1/2}.$$

Thus,

$$(5) \quad \int_X f dS = \int_{\pi_k(X)} f \circ \pi_k^{-1} \frac{1}{\cos \nu_k} dx_1 \dots dx_{k-1} dx_{k+1} \dots dx_n.$$

If $f \equiv 1$, then the integral $\int_X dS$ represents the area of X . This terminology comes from \mathbb{R}^3 , where the integral is indeed the area of a surface, while for $n > 3$, it is actually the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional volume.

Example 5.3. Consider the surface integral of a continuous function $f(x, y, z)$ over the upper hemisphere $S^+ = S^2 \cap \{z > 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. First we use the parametrization $z = \psi(x, y) = \sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}$ for $x^2 + y^2 < 1$. Then

$$|1 + |\nabla\psi|^2| = 1 + \frac{x^2}{1 - x^2 - y^2} + \frac{y^2}{1 - x^2 - y^2} = \frac{1}{1 - x^2 - y^2}.$$

Therefore, from (3) we obtain

$$\int_{S^+} f dS = \int_{\{x^2 + y^2 < 1\}} f(x, y, \sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}) \frac{dx dy}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}}.$$

Now we use the parametrization of S^+ that comes from the spherical coordinates. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be given by

$$\Phi(\theta, \phi) = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta).$$

Then $\Phi((0, \pi/2) \times (0, 2\pi)) = S^+$ (excluding a set of measure 0). To apply (2) we first compute vectors of partial derivatives with respect to θ and ϕ . We have

$$\Phi_\theta = (\cos \theta \cos \phi, \cos \theta \sin \phi, -\sin \theta), \quad \Phi_\phi = (-\sin \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta \cos \phi, 0).$$

Then

$$\vec{N} = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{e}_1 & \vec{e}_2 & \vec{e}_3 \\ \cos \theta \cos \phi & \cos \theta \sin \phi & -\sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta \sin \phi & \sin \theta \cos \phi & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \sin \theta (\cos \phi \sin \theta, \sin \phi \sin \theta, \cos \theta),$$

and so $|\vec{N}| = \sin \theta$. We conclude that

$$\int_{S^+} f dS = \int_{(0, \pi/2) \times (0, 2\pi)} f(\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi.$$

That both integrals agree can be verified, for example, by calculating the surface area of S^+ using these two representations of the surface integral. \diamond

Finally, if U_j is an open covering of $\partial\Omega$ by coordinate neighbourhoods, we set $X_k = U_k \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{k-1} U_j$ so that $\partial\Omega = \cup X_k$ and X_k are disjoint. Then we set

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f dS = \sum_k \int_{X_k} f dS.$$

One can view this as a definition of the surface integral over $\partial\Omega$. It is not difficult to verify that the integral is well-defined, i.e., it is independent of the choice of the covering by coordinate neighbourhoods, local defining functions, etc. We leave this verification as an exercise for the reader.

5.2. Divergence theorem. The following theorem connects the integral over a domain Ω with the surface integral over its boundary Ω . It was discussed in some form in the work of Lagrange, Gauss, and most notably Ostrogradski, who gave a proof that would be considered complete by modern standards. It is sometimes referred to as Gauss-Ostrogradski theorem.

Recall that a vector field F on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is simply a map $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. The geometric interpretation of a vector field (which becomes nontrivial and important when one considers abstract manifolds) is that at each point $x \in \Omega$ the value $F(x)$ is thought of as a vector in \mathbb{R}^n originating at x . For example, given a function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the gradient ∇f is a vector field on Ω . Another example is a vector field given by (4) assigning to every boundary point of $\partial\Omega$ a normal vector \vec{N} to Ω . The divergence of a vector field F is defined as $\text{div} \vec{F} = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} + \dots + \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial x_n}$.

Theorem 5.1 (Divergence theorem). *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with the boundary of class C^1 . Let $\vec{F} = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$ be a vector field of class $C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^1(\Omega)$. Then*

$$(6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \text{div} \vec{F} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} (\vec{F}, \vec{n}) dS,$$

where (a, b) denotes the usual scalar product of two vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and \vec{n} denotes the vector field of the outward-pointing unit normals to $\partial\Omega$.

For $n = 1$ the Divergence theorem becomes the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus.

Proof. For simplicity of notation we assume that $n = 3$, the proof in the general case is completely analogous. We will assume that $\Omega = \{(x, y, z) : (x, y) \in D, \psi_1(x, y) < z < \psi_2(x, y)\}$, where D is a domain in \mathbb{R}^2 , and ψ and ϕ are smooth functions on D . Moreover, we assume that a similar representation is also valid for projections onto the other two coordinate planes. Such domains sometimes are called *simple*. If the domain Ω is not simple in all three directions, then we may divide it into smaller domains Ω_i which are simple. Adding the results for each i gives the Divergence theorem for Ω and $\partial\Omega$. Indeed, since after splitting Ω the surface integrals over the newly introduced boundaries occur twice with the opposite normal vectors \vec{n} , their sum is equal to zero, and we end up with the surface integral over the original $\partial\Omega$.

Denote by Γ_j the surface

$$\Gamma_j = \{(x, y, \psi_j(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x, y) \in D\}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Then, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F_3(x, y, z)}{\partial z} dx dy dz &= \int_D \left(\int_{\psi_1(x, y)}^{\psi_2(x, y)} \frac{\partial F_3(x, y, z)}{\partial z} dz \right) dx dy = \\ &= \int_D F_3(x, y, \psi_2(x, y)) dx dy - \int_D F_3(x, y, \psi_1(x, y)) dx dy = \int_{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2} F_3(x, y, z) \cos \nu_3 dS, \end{aligned}$$

where ν_3 is the angle between the vector \vec{e}_3 and the normals $(\frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial y}, 1)$ when $(x, y, z) \in \Gamma_2$ and $(-\frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x}, -\frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial y}, -1)$ when $(x, y, z) \in \Gamma_1$ respectively. In the last step we used (5).

Let now $\Gamma_3 = \{(x, y, z) : (x, y) \in \partial D, \psi_1(x, y) < z < \psi_2(x, y)\}$ be the "vertical" part of $\partial\Omega$. Let ν_3 still denote the angle between Γ_3 and the outward-pointing unit normal vector to Γ_3 . Then $\nu_3 = \pi/2$ and $\cos \nu_3 = 0$. Then

$$\int_{\Gamma_3} F_3(x, y, z) \cos \nu_3 dS = 0.$$

Since $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3$ we can write

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} F_3(x, y, z) \cos \nu_3 dS = \int_{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2} F_3(x, y, z) \cos \nu_3 dS,$$

and therefore,

$$(7) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F_3(x, y, z)}{\partial z} dx dy dz = \int_{\partial\Omega} F_3(x, y, z) \cos \nu_3 dS.$$

Similarly, we establish the formulas

$$(8) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F_1(x, y, z)}{\partial x} dx dy dz = \int_{\partial\Omega} F_1(x, y, z) \cos \nu_1 dS,$$

and

$$(9) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F_2(x, y, z)}{\partial y} dx dy dz = \int_{\partial\Omega} F_2(x, y, z) \cos \nu_2 dS,$$

where ν_1 and ν_2 are angles between the outward-pointing unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ and the standard base vectors \vec{e}_1 and \vec{e}_2 . Taking the sum in (7), (8), (9) we obtain

$$(10) \quad \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \vec{F} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} (F_1(x, y, z) \cos \nu_1 + F_2(x, y, z) \cos \nu_2 + F_3(x, y, z) \cos \nu_3),$$

which is precisely (6) for dimension 3. □

In conclusion we mention some useful consequence of the Divergence theorem. Let f be a function of class $C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^1(\Omega)$. Applying the Divergence theorem to the vector field $\vec{F} = f\vec{e}_k$ we obtain

$$(11) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}(x) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x)(\vec{e}_k, \vec{n}(x)) dS.$$

Let $f = u \cdot v$. Then, since

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} v = \frac{\partial(uv)}{\partial x_k} - u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k},$$

formula (11) gives

$$(12) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}(x)v(x) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} u(x)v(x)(\vec{e}_k, \vec{n}(x)) dS - \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k} dx,$$

which is just the multidimensional *integration by parts* formula. Since $(\vec{e}_k, \vec{n}) = \cos \nu_k$, where ν_k is the angle between vectors e_k and \vec{n} , the integration by parts formula can be rewritten as

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}(x)v(x) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} u(x)v(x) \cos \nu_k dS - \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k} dx.$$

Recall that the Laplacian of a C^2 -smooth function $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the function $\Delta u = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j^2}(x)$. Consider now two functions u and v of class $C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ such that their Laplacians Δu and Δv are integrable in Ω . Clearly,

$$\Delta u = \operatorname{div}(\nabla u).$$

Furthermore, for every boundary point $x \in \partial\Omega$ the scalar product $(\nabla u(x), \vec{n}(x))$ coincides with the directional derivative $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}$. On the other hand,

$$v\Delta u = v\operatorname{div}(\nabla u) = \operatorname{div}(v\nabla u) - (\nabla u, \nabla v).$$

Integrating this identity over Ω and applying the Divergence theorem we obtain *the first Green's formula*:

$$(13) \quad \int_{\Omega} v\Delta u dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} dS - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u, \nabla v) dx.$$

Similarly we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u\Delta v dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{n}} dS - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla v, \nabla u) dx.$$

Subtracting this last equality from (13) we obtain *the second Green's formula*

$$(14) \quad \int_{\Omega} (v\Delta u - u\Delta v) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} - u \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{n}} \right) dS.$$

5.3. Change of variables in the integral. The following theorem is the multidimensional version of the substitution rule in the integral.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\Phi : \bar{\Omega}' \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}$ be a C^1 -diffeomorphism between two domains in \mathbb{R}^n with C^1 -smooth boundary, and let $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) dx = \int_{\Omega'} f \circ \Phi(y) |J_{\Phi}(y)| dy,$$

where $|J_{\Phi}|$ denotes the determinant of the differential (Jacobian matrix) of the map Φ .

Proof. We will give the proof for $n = 3$. Assume that the coordinates in Ω are (x, y, z) and (u, v, w) in Ω' . Suppose $\partial\Omega$ is parametrized by a function

$$\phi(s, t) \rightarrow (x(s, t), y(s, t), z(s, t)), \quad (s, t) \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then after substituting ϕ into Φ , the hypersurface $\partial\Omega'$ is given by some function

$$(s, t) \rightarrow (u(s, t), v(s, t), w(s, t)).$$

Define the function

$$F(x, y, z) = \int_0^z f(x, y, \xi) d\xi.$$

Then $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = f$ in Ω . We will use the notation $\frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(s, t)}$ to denote the determinant of the Jacobian matrix obtained by taking partial derivatives of the functions $x(s, t)$ and $y(s, t)$ with respect to variables (s, t) . Similar notation will be used for any other collection of functions and variables. Set

$$\vec{N} = (N_1, N_2, N_3) = \left(\frac{\partial(y, z)}{\partial(s, t)}, \frac{\partial(z, x)}{\partial(s, t)}, \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(s, t)} \right),$$

and

$$\vec{N}' = (N'_1, N'_2, N'_3) = \left(\frac{\partial(v, w)}{\partial(s, t)}, \frac{\partial(w, u)}{\partial(s, t)}, \frac{\partial(u, v)}{\partial(s, t)} \right).$$

We claim that

$$(15) \quad N_3 = \frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}{\partial(v, w)} N'_1 + \frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}{\partial(w, u)} N'_2 + \frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}{\partial(u, v)} N'_3.$$

This can be verified by writing

$$x = \Phi_1(u(s, t), v(s, t), w(s, t)), \quad y = \Phi_2(u(s, t), v(s, t), w(s, t)),$$

differentiating these equations with respect to s and t and then substituting into $N_3 = x_s y_t - x_t y_s$. The vectors \vec{N} and \vec{N}' are normal to $\partial\Omega$ and $\partial\Omega'$ respectively, say, N is the outward-pointing normal to $\partial\Omega$, and \vec{N}' is the inward-point normal to $\partial\Omega'$. Then

$$(16) \quad \vec{n} = \vec{N}/|\vec{N}| \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{n}' = -\vec{N}'/|\vec{N}'|$$

are the corresponding unit normal vectors. By the Divergence theorem,

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, dx \, dy \, dz = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \, dx \, dy \, dz = \int_{\partial\Omega} F \cos(e_3, \vec{n}) \, dS = \int_D F N_3 \, ds \, dt.$$

Substitution of N_3 from (15) gives

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, dx \, dy \, dz = \int_D F \left(\frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}{\partial(v, w)} N'_1 + \frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}{\partial(w, u)} N'_2 + \frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}{\partial(u, v)} N'_3 \right) \, ds \, dt.$$

Since the surface measure on $\partial\Omega'$ is given by $dS' = |\vec{N}'| \, ds \, dt$ and since

$$(N'_1, N'_2, N'_3) = \left(-|\vec{N}'| \cos(e_1, \vec{n}'), -|\vec{N}'| \cos(e_2, \vec{n}'), -|\vec{N}'| \cos(e_3, \vec{n}') \right),$$

we get

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, dx \, dy \, dz = - \int_{\partial\Omega'} F \left(\frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}{\partial(v, w)} \cos(e_1, \vec{n}') + \frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}{\partial(w, u)} \cos(e_2, \vec{n}') + \frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}{\partial(u, v)} \cos(e_3, \vec{n}') \right) \, dS'.$$

Evaluating the last surface integral by the divergence theorem, and using the relation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[F \frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}{\partial(v, w)} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[F \frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}{\partial(w, u)} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left[F \frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}{\partial(u, v)} \right] = f \frac{\partial(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3)}{\partial(u, v, w)} = f J_{\Phi},$$

we finally obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, dx \, dy \, dz = - \int_{\Omega'} f J_{\Phi} \, du \, dv \, dw.$$

Since the Jacobian does not vanish in Ω' , it is either positive or negative. Taking $f \equiv 1$ we see that it is negative for our choice of the sign in the normal vectors in (16). Therefore, $-J_{\Phi} = |J_{\Phi}|$. The proof for other choices of sign in (16) is similar. \square

Example 5.4. Consider again the spherical coordinates in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : (r, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) &\mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ \Phi : (0, +\infty) \times (0, \pi) \times \dots \times (0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= r \cos \theta_1, \\ x_2 &= r \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ x_{n-1} &= r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1}, \\ x_n &= r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \dots \sin \theta_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\int_D f(x) \, dx = \int_{\Phi^{-1}(D)} f \circ \Phi(r, \theta) r^{n-1} (\sin \theta_1)^{n-2} \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \, dr \, d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1}.$$

If $\Gamma = RS^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = R\}$ is the sphere centred at the origin of radius R , then from (2) we have

$$\int_{RS^{n-1}} f(x) \, dS = R^{n-1} \int_{D'} f \circ \Phi(R, \theta) (\sin \theta_1)^{n-2} \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \, d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1},$$

with $D' = \times(0, \pi) \times \dots \times (0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi)$. Suppose that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then rewriting the above integral we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \, dx = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{rS^{n-1}} f(x) \, dS \right) r^{n-1} \, dr.$$

This can be interpreted as integration over a sphere of radius r , and then over all concentric spheres for $0 < r < \infty$. \diamond

Let $A \in O(n)$ be an orthogonal matrix : $AA^t = Id$ and \mathcal{A} be the corresponding linear transformation of \mathbb{R}^n , i.e., $\mathcal{A}(t) = At$. It follows from the formula of the change of variables in the integral that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) \, dS = \int_{S^{n-1}} f \circ \mathcal{A}(t) \, dS = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(At) \, dS.$$

This property is often useful in computations of spherical integrals.