## **REAL ANALYSIS LECTURE NOTES**

## RASUL SHAFIKOV

## 7. The space of test functions

7.1. Space of test functions. Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For an integer  $k \geq 0$  we denote by  $C^k(\Omega)$  the linear space of functions  $h: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that have continuous partial derivatives up to order k on  $\Omega$ . We define  $C^{\infty}(\Omega) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} C^k(\Omega)$  to be the space of real functions admitting continuous partial derivatives of any order. The standard topology on  $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  can be defined by the following notion of convergence: we say that a sequence  $\{h^k\} \subset C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  converges as  $k \longrightarrow \infty$  to a function h in  $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  if  $h^k$  converges to h uniformly along with all partial derivatives of any order on any compact subset of  $\Omega$ . If K is a compact subset of  $\Omega$ , we use the standard norm

$$\parallel u \parallel_{C^k(K)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \sup_{x \in K} |D^{\alpha}u(x)|$$

for  $u \in C^k(\Omega)$ .

Recall that supp h, the support of a function  $h \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , is defined to be the closure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the set  $\{x \in \Omega : h(x) \neq 0\}$ . Denote by  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  the subspace of  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which consists of functions h such that supp h is a compact subset of  $\Omega$ .

Our main goal is to introduce and to study the space of continuous linear functionals on the linear vector space  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . For this we first need to choose some topology on  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . For our purposes it will be sufficient simply to define the notion of convergence of a sequence of elements in  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . This will allow us to define the continuity of linear functionals. We say that a sequence of functions  $(\varphi_j)$  of class  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  converges to  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  if the following conditions hold:

- (i) There exists a compact subset K such that  $K \subset \Omega$  and  $\varphi_i = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$  for every j = 1, 2, 3... In other words, supp  $\varphi_j \subset K \subset \Omega$  for every j.
- (ii) For every  $\alpha$  the sequence  $D^{\alpha}\varphi_{j}$  converges to  $D^{\alpha}\varphi$  uniformly on K. That is all partial derivatives of  $\varphi_j$  of all orders converge uniformly to the corresponding partial derivatives of  $\varphi$ .

**Definition 7.1.** The space  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  equipped with the above topology of convergence of sequences will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ . The elements of this space are called test-functions.

We leave as an exercise for the reader to verify that  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is a topological vector space.

**Example 7.1.** Denote by  $|x| = \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + \ldots + |x_n|^2}$  the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Set

$$\omega_{\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} C_{\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2 - |x|^2}}, & |x| < \varepsilon, \\ 0, & |x| \ge \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Here the constant  $C_{\varepsilon}$  is determined by the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_{\varepsilon}(x) dx = 1$$

that is,

$$C_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-n} \left( \int_{B(0,1)} e^{\frac{-1}{1-|t|^2}} dt \right)^{-1},$$

where B(0,1) denotes the Euclidean unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This is a model example of a function from  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (the so-called *bump function*). Observe that

$$\omega_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-n} \omega_1(x/\varepsilon).$$

 $\diamond$ 

In what follows we write  $\omega(x)$  instead of  $\omega_1(x)$ . The bump function allows us to construct suitable test-functions for an arbitrary domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We denote by  $\Omega^{\varepsilon} := \bigcup_{x \in \Omega} B(x, \varepsilon)$ , the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma 7.2.** Given a compact subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a function  $\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow [0,1]$  of class  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\eta(x) = 1, \text{ for } x \in \Omega^{\varepsilon}, \eta(x) = 0, \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega^{3\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \Omega^{2\varepsilon}, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega^{2\varepsilon} \end{cases}$$

be the characteristic function of  $\Omega^{2\varepsilon}$ . Then the function defined by the convolution integral

$$\eta(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda(y) \omega_{\varepsilon}(x-y) dy$$

satisfies the required conditions.

**Corollary 7.3.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain and  $\Omega'$  be its subset such that  $\overline{\Omega'} \subset \Omega$ . Then there exists a function  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \eta : \Omega \longrightarrow [0,1]$  such that  $\eta(x) = 1$  whenever  $x \in \Omega'$ .

We point out that the introduced above topology on the space  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is not metrizable. In other words, one cannot define a distance d on the space of test functions such that the convergence with respect to d is equivalent to the introduced above convergence of a sequence of test functions. To see this, recall the following elementary assertion.

**Claim.** Let  $\{u_k^m\}$  be a countable family of sequences in a metric space (X, d). Suppose that for every m = 0, 1, ... the sequence  $\{u_k^m\}$  admits the limit  $u^m := \lim_{k \to \infty} u_k^m$  and the sequence of these limits  $\{u^m\}$  also tends to  $u \in X$  as  $m \to \infty$ . Then for every m there exists  $k_m$  such that  $k_{m-1} < k_m$  and the sequence  $\{u_{k_m}^m\}$  converges to u.

We leave an easy proof as an exercise. Consider now in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  the functions

$$u_k^m(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} e^{-\frac{m^2}{m^2 - x^2}}, & \text{for } |x| \le m \\ 0, & \text{for } |x| \ge m. \end{cases}$$

For every m we have  $\lim_{k\to\infty} u_k^m = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ , but for any choice of  $k_m$  the sequence  $\{u_{k_m}^m\}$  does not converge in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  since the supports of these test functions are not uniformly bounded. This shows that the topology of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is not metrizable.

It is not difficult to describe the topology on  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by the introduced above convergence. Consider for simplicity the case n = 1, as the general case is similar. Given m consider m+1 positive

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

continuous functions  $\gamma_j$ . Define a neighbourhood of the origin in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  as the set of test-functions  $\phi$  satisfying  $|\phi^{(j)}(x)| \leq \gamma_j(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, j = 1, 2, ..., m$ . Then the convergence in this topology precisely coincides with the introduced above convergence on  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ . The reader is encouraged to supply a proof of this fact.

7.2. Regularization of functions. The convolution f \* g of two functions  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by the integral

(1) 
$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x-y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y)g(y)dy.$$

The convolution has particularly nice properties when the second factor is a test function. Denote by  $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$  the space of locally Lebesgue-integrable functions on  $\Omega$ . Recall that a measurable function f is in  $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$  if and only if  $\int_X |f(x)| dx < \infty$  for every compact measurable subset  $X \subset \Omega$ . Let  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the function  $y \mapsto \varphi(x-y)$  is a test-function and so the convolution

(2) 
$$f * \varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)\varphi(x-y)dy$$

is well-defined point-wise as a usual function on all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proposition 7.4. We have 
$$f * \varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 and  
(3)  $D^{\alpha}(f * \phi) = f * D^{\alpha}\varphi.$ 

*Proof.* (a) Let us show that the convolution  $f * \varphi$  is a continuous function. Let  $x^k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a sequence converging to x. Then  $\varphi(x^k - y) \longrightarrow \varphi(x - y)$ , as  $k \to \infty$  everywhere, and

$$f * \varphi(x^k) = \int f(y)\varphi(x^k - y)dy \longrightarrow \int f(y)\varphi(x - y)dy = (f * \varphi)(x), \text{ as } k \to \infty,$$

by the Lebesgue Convergence theorem.

(b) Denote by  $\vec{e}_j$ , j = 1, ..., n, the vectors of the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For a fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$\frac{1}{t}(\varphi(x+t\vec{e_j}-y)-\varphi(x-y))\longrightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\varphi(x-y)), \ t\to 0.$$

everywhere (this follows from the Mean Value theorem applied to the function  $\varphi(x + t\vec{e}_j - y)$  on [0,t]). Therefore, by the Lebesgue Convergence theorem,

$$\frac{1}{t}(f*\varphi(x+t\vec{e_j})-f*\varphi(x)) = \int f(y)\frac{1}{t}(\varphi(x+t\vec{e_j}-y)-\varphi(x-y))dy \longrightarrow \int f(y)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\varphi\right)(x-y)dy$$

Hence, the partial derivative of  $f * \varphi$  with respect to  $x_j$  exists and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}f * \varphi = \int f(y) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}\right) (x - y) dy = f * \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}\right).$$

Since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , it follows from part (a) that the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(f * \varphi)$  is continuous. Proceeding by induction, we obtain that  $f * \varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and satisfies (3).

A special case, important in applications, arises if we take the bump-function  $\omega_{\varepsilon}$  as  $\varphi$  in (2).

## **Definition 7.5.** The convolution $f_{\varepsilon} := f * \omega_{\varepsilon}$ is called the regularization of a function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proposition 7.6.** We have

(i)  $f_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n);$ 

- (ii) if  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $f_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow f$ , as  $\varepsilon \to 0+$ , in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  for every bounded subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; (iii) if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , then  $f_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow f$ , as  $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0+$ , in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Part (i) follows from Proposition 7.4. To show (ii), assume that f is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

(4) 
$$f_{\varepsilon}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_{\varepsilon}(x-y) f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_{\varepsilon}(y) f(x-y) dy = \int_{|y| \le \varepsilon} \omega_{\varepsilon}(y) f(x-y) dy.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_{\varepsilon}(x) dx = 1,$$

we have

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\omega_{\varepsilon}(y)f(x-y)dy - f(x)\right| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\omega_{\varepsilon}(y)(f(x-y) - f(x))dy\right| \le M_{\varepsilon}\int_{|y|\le\varepsilon}\omega_{\varepsilon}(y)dy = M_{\varepsilon},$$

where

$$M_{\varepsilon} = \sup_{x \in \Omega, |y| \le \varepsilon} |f(x - y) - f(x)|.$$

Since f is continuous on the compact subset  $\overline{\Omega^{\varepsilon}}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it is uniformly continuous there so that  $M_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0+$ . This proves (ii). For the proof of (iii) we need to show that  $|| f_{\varepsilon} - f ||_{L^p} \longrightarrow 0$ , as  $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0+$ , where

$$||h||_{L^p} = ||h||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(x)|^p dx\right)^{1/p}.$$

**Lemma 7.7.** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\parallel f_{\varepsilon} \parallel_{L^p} \leq \parallel f \parallel_{L^p}.$$

*Proof.* Consider first the case p = 1. By Fubini's theorem

$$\| f_{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{1}} = \int |f * \omega_{\varepsilon}(x)| dx \leq \int \int |f(y)| \omega_{\varepsilon}(x-y) dy dx = \int |f(y)| \left( \int \omega_{\varepsilon}(x-y) dx \right) dy$$
$$= \int |f(y)| dy = \| f \|_{L^{1}}.$$

Let now p > 1. For p and q satisfying  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  we have, by Hölder's inequality,

$$|f * \omega_{\varepsilon}|^{p} \leq \left( \int |f(y)| \omega_{\varepsilon}(x-y) dy \right)^{p} = \left( \int |f(y)| \omega_{\varepsilon}(x-y)^{1/p} \omega_{\varepsilon}(x-y)^{1/q} dy \right)^{p}$$
$$\leq \left( \int |f(y)|^{p} \omega_{\varepsilon}(x-y) dy \right) \left( \int \omega_{\varepsilon}(x-y) dy \right)^{p/q} = \int |f(y)|^{p} \omega_{\varepsilon}(x-y) dy.$$

Therefore, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\left(\int |f * \omega_{\varepsilon}(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int \left(\int |f(y)|^{p} \omega_{\varepsilon}(x-y) dy\right) dx\right)^{1/p}$$
$$= \left(\int |f(y)|^{p} \left(\int \omega_{\varepsilon}(x-y) dx\right) dy\right)^{1/p} = \left(\int |f(y)|^{p} dy\right)^{1/p},$$

which proves the lemma.

Now let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . From the theory of Lebesgue integral, given  $\tau > 0$  there exists A > 0 and a function  $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with supp  $h \subset \{|x| \leq A\}$  such that

$$\|f-h\|_{L^p} \leq \tau.$$

Then by Lemma 7.7 we have

$$|| f_{\varepsilon} - h_{\varepsilon} ||_{L^{p}} = || (f - h)_{\varepsilon} ||_{L^{p}} \le || f - h ||_{L^{p}} \le \eta$$

for every  $\varepsilon$ . Furthermore, supp  $h_{\varepsilon} \subset K = \{|x| \leq A+1\}$  for  $\varepsilon$  small enough. Hence, by (ii),  $h_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow h_{\varepsilon}$ as  $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0+$  in C(K). It follows that

$$\|h - h_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^p} \le \tau$$

for all  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small. Thus,

$$|| f - f_{\varepsilon} ||_{L^p} \leq || f - h ||_{L^p} + || h - h_{\varepsilon} ||_{L^p} + || h_{\varepsilon} - f_{\varepsilon} ||_{L^p} \leq 3\tau,$$

which concludes the proof of the proposition.

7.3. Partition of unity. A family of open subsets  $(U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *covering* of a subset  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if  $X \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$ . A covering is called *locally finite* if every point  $x \in X$  admits a neighbourhood V such that the intersection  $V \cap U_{\alpha}$  is not empty only for a finite subset of  $\alpha$  in A. A covering  $(V_{\beta})_{\beta \in B}$  is called a *subcovering* of  $(U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  if for every  $\beta \in B$  there exists  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $V_{\beta} \subset U_{\alpha}$ . We denote by  $X^{\circ}$  the interior of X.

**Proposition 7.8.** Let X be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $(U_\alpha)$  be its covering. Then there exists a locally finite subcovering  $(V_{\beta})$  of  $(U_{\alpha})$  with the following property: for every  $\beta$  the set  $V_{\beta}$  contains the closure of some ball  $B(p_{\beta}, r_{\beta})$  and these open balls  $(B(p_{\beta}, r_{\beta})$  form a locally finite subcovering  $(W_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma} of (V_{\beta}).$ 

*Proof.* We set

$$K_j = \{ z \in X : |x| \le j, \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial X) \ge 1/j \}.$$

Then  $K_j$  is a sequence of compact subsets of X satisfying

(i)  $K_j \subset K_{j+1}^{\circ}$ (ii)  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j$ .

Since  $K_i \setminus K_{i-1}^{\circ}$  is compact, one can choose  $U_{\alpha_1}^i, ..., U_{\alpha_{k_i}}^i$  a finite subset of  $(U_{\alpha})$  with

$$(K_i \backslash K_{i-1}^{\circ}) \subset \cup_{j=1}^{k_i} U_{\alpha_j}^i.$$

Set  $V_i^i = U_{\alpha_i}^i \cap (K_i \setminus K_{i-2})^\circ$ . Then  $(V_i^i)$  is a locally finite subcovering. For every  $p \in V_\beta$  consider a ball B(p, r(p)) contained in  $V_{\beta}$  for all  $\beta$  with  $p \in V_{\beta}$ . Then this family of balls form a subcovering of  $(V_{\beta})$  and we apply a previous construction extracting a locally finite subcovering by balls. 

**Corollary 7.9.** Let X be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $(U_\alpha)$  be its covering. Then there exists a locally finite subcovering  $(W_{\gamma})$  and a family of functions  $\eta_{\gamma} \in C_0^{\infty}(W_{\gamma})$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $\eta_{\gamma}(x) \ge 0$  for every  $x \in X$  and every  $\gamma$ . (ii)  $\sum_{\gamma} \eta_{\gamma}(x) = 1$  for every  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Consider locally finite subcoverings  $(W_{\gamma})$  and  $(V_{\beta})$  constructed in the previous proposition. Fix  $\gamma$ . By construction, there exists a finite number of  $\beta$  such that the closed ball  $\overline{W_{\gamma}}$  is contained in  $V_{\beta}$ . By Corollary 7.3 there exists a function

$$\phi_{\gamma} \in C_0^{\infty}(\bigcap_{W_{\gamma} \subset V_{\beta}} V_{\beta})$$

with values in [0,1] that is equal to 1 on  $W_{\gamma}$ . Hence,  $\phi(x) = \sum_{\gamma} \phi_{\gamma}(x)$  is well-defined for every  $x \in X$  and  $\phi(x) > 0$ . Now set  $\eta_{\gamma}(x) = \phi_{\gamma}(x)/\phi(x)$ .

The family  $(\eta_{\gamma})$  is called *a partition of unity* subordinated to the covering  $(W_{\gamma})$ .